



**Integral valorization from industrial Persian lime processing wastes (*Citrus latifolia* Tanaka): simultaneous recovery of oils and antioxidants**

**Valorización integral de los residuos industriales del procesamiento de limón Persa (*Citrus latifolia* Tanaka): recuperación simultánea de aceites y antioxidantes**

D. L. Ambriz-Pérez<sup>1,2</sup>, I. Y. Palomares-Ruiz<sup>3</sup>, F. J. Gómez-Córdoba<sup>1</sup>, N. Y. Mejias-Brizuela<sup>1</sup>, N. Araiza-Lizarde<sup>2,3</sup>,  
D.U. Santos-Ballardo<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Unidad Académica de Ingeniería en Energía, Universidad Politécnica de Sinaloa, Carretera Municipal Libre Mazatlán-Higueras Km 3, 82199 Mazatlán, Sinaloa, México.

<sup>2</sup>Maestría en Ciencias Aplicadas, Universidad Politécnica de Sinaloa, Carretera Municipal Libre Mazatlán-Higueras Km 3, 82199 Mazatlán, Sinaloa, México.

<sup>3</sup>Unidad Académica de Ingeniería en Biotecnología, Universidad Politécnica de Sinaloa, Carretera Municipal Libre Mazatlán-Higueras Km 3, 82199 Mazatlán, Sinaloa, México.

Received: August 4, 2020; Accepted: October 25, 2020

**Abstract**

Mexico's Persian lime production in 2019 was close to 1.3 million of tons, inferring an approximately 390,000 tons of peel residues during its processing. This residues presents an interesting opportunity for obtaining value-added products, mainly due to its composition, formed principally by water, soluble sugars, fiber, organic acids, fatty acids, minerals, essential oils, flavonoids, and vitamins. Despite this, the valorization of this residual biomass has been almost completely ignored. In this paper, the residual peel was analyzed in order to determine its biotechnological potential, for this, different oil extraction methods were compared, the fatty acids profile was determined, also characterization and the antioxidant potential of the defatted residues were evaluated. The results showed that the principal fatty acids present in the oil extracted were Palmitic, Oleic and Linoleic, which allows its use for food and bioenergy purposes, moreover, the defatted residual biomass characterization presents a chemical composition which allows the use for as livestock, biogas production or agronomy. To our knowledge, this is the first report of antioxidant activity from defatted Persian lime residual biomass, wherein, the residues generated by steam distillation showed the bigger amount of phenolic compounds, but the obtained from hexane extraction presents a higher antioxidant activity.

*Keywords:* Persian lime, oil extraction, valorization, phenolic compounds, antioxidant activity.

**Resumen**

En 2019, la producción de limón Persa en México fue de 1.3 millones de toneladas, lo que infiere la generación de 390,000 toneladas de cáscara residual. Estos residuos presentan potencial para obtener productos de valor agregado, debido principalmente a su composición, que consta principalmente de agua, azúcares solubles, fibra, ácidos grasos, minerales, aceites esenciales, flavonoides y vitaminas. A pesar de esto, la valorización de este residuo ha sido casi ignorada; en este trabajo se caracterizó el potencial biotecnológico de este subproducto. Para ello se compararon metodologías diferentes para extracción de aceites y se determinó su perfil de ácidos grasos; además, la biomasa residual desgrasada (BRD) fue caracterizada, y se determinó su potencial antioxidante. Los resultados mostraron que los ácidos grasos mayoritarios fueron el Palmítico, Oleico y Linoleico, lo que permite su uso para fines alimenticios y bioenergéticos; adicionalmente, la BRD presentó una composición proximal con potencial uso para alimento de ganado, producción de biogás o fertilizante. Hasta donde sabemos, este es el primer reporte de actividad antioxidante de este material, donde los residuos generados por arrastre de vapor mostraron una mayor cantidad de compuestos fenólicos, sin embargo, los generados por extracción con hexano presentaron la mayor actividad antioxidante.

*Palabras clave:* Limón Persa, extracción de aceite, valorización, compuestos fenólicos, actividad antioxidante.

\* Corresponding author. E-mail: dsantos@upsin.edu.mx  
Tel. (669)1800696 ext. 143  
<https://doi.org/10.24275/rmiq/Bio1935>  
ISSN:1665-2738, issn-e: 2395-8472

## 1 Introduction

---

According to Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2011) around 1.3 billion tons of food wastes are disposed annually worldwide, from these, it has observed that in industrialized countries, more than 40% of food wastes are of industrial origin which represents around 520 million tons. Agroindustrial wastes generate environmental, public health and economic problems, mainly due to its inadequate management and its scarce use, for that reason, results imperative to find alternatives for the use and recovery of these byproducts (Jiménez-Malpeque *et al.*, 2020). About the citrus industry, 35-40% of fruits are destined to juice and 0.2-0.5% to essential oil production, while the remaining 60-65% represents wastes consisting in exhausted peels, pulp, and seeds. In these wastes, which normally are discarded or used as animal feed or fertilizers, remain useful and valuable substances such as polyphenols, limonene, carotenoids, vitamins, fibers (pectin), coumarins, among others, these substances are estimated at around 18mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (M'hiri *et al.*, 2018; Costa *et al.*, 2019).

Concerning lemon, during the marketing year 2018/2019, the worldwide production of lemons and limes reach more than 8.5 million metric tons, being Mexico the leading global producer, contributing with 2.6 million metric tons (USDA, 2020), the above would represent more than 5 million metric tons of lemon wastes around the world. The Persian lime (*Citrus latifolia* Tanaka) is mainly grown in the Gulf Coast of Mexico, in 2019 the production in Mexico was close to 1.3 million of tons, regarding residues of Persian lime peel, a production of up to approximately 390,000 tons can be inferred, if we consider that about 30% of the weight of the lemon is made up of peels (Fernández-Lambert *et al.*, 2015; SIAP, 2020; Jiménez-Nempeque *et al.*, 2020). Peels are composed mainly of water, soluble sugars, fiber, organic acids, amino acids, minerals, essential oils, flavonoids, and vitamins, which represents an area of opportunity for obtaining value-added products (Londoño *et al.*, 2012).

Lemon oil and polyphenols, present in peels, are used in a wide variety of applications, mainly in the flavor, perfumery, and pharmaceutical industries, both are used for their nutraceutical properties, for example their antioxidant capacity (Sharma *et al.*, 2017; Macías-Cortés *et al.*, 2020). The amount and

quality of the compounds recovered from peels are highly influenced by the extraction method used. For example, in case of oils, during hydrodistillation (which is one of the most used technology by industry due to its economic operation cost), the increased temperatures and extended extraction time used, can cause chemical modifications of the oil components and loss of the most volatile constituents (Gök *et al.*, 2014). On the other hand, organic solvent methods showed that oil extraction at high temperatures enhanced solubility because of reduction in viscosity and increased mass transfer rate. The organic solvent extraction is dependent on the nature of the solvent, reaction time, process temperature and the solid/solvent ratio (Ali & Watson, 2013). Meanwhile, in case of polyphenols extraction, phenolics can occur as soluble fraction (free phenolics) or non-soluble fraction (bound phenolics), the last ones are attached to dietary fiber or protein, and they require an extra step of hydrolysis during extraction to make them soluble and profitable (Gutiérrez-Grijalva *et al.*, 2016).

In this paper, the valorization of Persian lime peels is proposed, for this, a characterization of its main components was made; different oil extraction methods are reported and compared, which are steam distillation, hexane and chloroform/methanol Soxhlet extraction; also phenolic contents and the antioxidant potential of the defatted residues was evaluated. This work provides a basis for the exploration of the potential and use of the waste generated by the Persian lime industry.

## 2 Materials and methods

---

### 2.1 Plant material

Persian Lime (*C. latifolia* Tanaka) Peel samples were gently donated by Citrika Rancho Agrícola S.A. de C.V., in Culiacán, Sinaloa, México, during the 2018-2019 harvest and processing season. The peels were cleaned repeatedly to remove impurities, subsequently the samples were chopped out for size reduction (3 x 3 cm), for solvents extractions the samples were dried in a forced recirculation oven previously heated to 70 °C for 12 hours, and finally the lemon peel were stored under refrigeration until its use (Badillo, 2011).

## 2.2 Oil extraction from Persian lime peels

The oil extraction from the Persian lime peels was carried out by three extraction methods, two with solvents by soxhlet and one by steam distillation. Extractions were performed by triplicates and the mean values was reported.

For oil extraction by soxhlet methods, dried lemon peel were used, besides two solvents combinations were performed: a) chloroform-methanol mixture (2:1) and b) hexane; also, the oil percentage extracted was obtained by the difference in weight. The defatted residual biomass (DRB) was dried at 60 °C for 12 hours to remove solvents excess and stored (4 °C) for later use (Bligh & Dyer, 1959; Santos-Ballardo *et al.*, 2016).

On the other hand, fresh lime peels were submitted to steam distillation process using a Clevenger-type apparatus and extracted with 500 mL ultrapure water at 100 °C for 3 h (until no more oil was obtained). The oil was collected, and the extraction percentage was obtained by the difference in weight. The DRB was dried at 60 °C for 12 hours and stored (4 °C) for later use (Lopresto *et al.*, 2014; Golmohammadi *et al.*, 2018).

## 2.3 Persian lime oil density calculations

Density determination of the extracted oil from the lime peel was performed according to Dalm & Hogervost (2011), using the following formula:

$$\text{Density} = \frac{M}{v} \quad (1)$$

where the ratio of the sample was established, weighing 1 mL of heated oil to 20 °C.

## 2.4 Fatty acids analysis

Fatty acids (FAs) samples were analyzed by extraction, separation, methylation, purification and quantification by AOAC method number 969.33 (1995). The FAs detection and quantification were analyzed with a splitless injection technique using gas chromatography analysis performed with an instrument (Agilent Technologies 7820A GC) equipped with a Supelco omegawax 320 column (30 cm length, 0.32 mm internal diameter and 0.25 μm phase thickness). The oven temperature was programmed with an increment from 50 to 260 °C at 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. Temperatures of 260 °C for 40 min, for the injector and detector were used. Methyl esters of FAs (FAME) were identified by comparing

retention times with those of standard FAMES (37 FAME compounds, Supelco™ Mix C4-C24; trophic markers). FAs were quantified by integrating the areas under peaks in the gas chromatography traces (CHROMQUEST 4.1® software), with calibrations derived from standard FAs. The results are presented as % of saturated fatty acids (SFAs), mono-unsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), poly-unsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) and % of each marker.

## 2.5 Defatted lime peel residual biomass characterization

The biomass samples obtained after the oil extraction were collected and characterized in basis of the total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) (Santos-Ballardo *et al.*, 2015). The total protein content was calculated using the TKN results (Vratislav *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, the total organic carbon (TOC), was determined according to EPA (1999) and the organic matter content was calculated using TOC results (Iglesias-Jiménez & Pérez-García, 1992). Once the content of C and N was determined, the C/N of the organic material was calculated. Furthermore, the ash content was evaluated through standard methods (APHA, 1999).

## 2.6 Phenolic compounds extraction

### 2.6.1 Free phenolic extract preparation

A 1 g flour sample of Persian lime defatted peel was blended with 10 mL of chilled methanol/water (80:20, v/v), and agitated for 24 h. After centrifugation at 10,000 rpm, at 4 °C, for 15 min (ThermoFisher scientific sorvall TR), the supernatant was evaporated at 45 °C and reconstituted in 2 mL of methanol. The resulting extracts were frozen at -80 °C and stored until use (Risipail *et al.*, 2005; Ambriiz-Pérez *et al.*, 2017).

### 2.7 Bound phenolic extract preparation

After extraction of free phenolic compounds, the residue was digested with 10 mL of 2 M sodium hydroxide in a water bath at 95 °C for 30 min. After, the sample was agitated for 1 additional hour at 25 °C. The mixture was acidified (pH<2.0) with 2 mL of hydrochloric acid and extracted with hexane to remove lipids. The final solution was extracted five times with 10 mL of ethyl acetate for each extraction. The ethyl acetate fraction was evaporated to dryness under vacuum at 35 °C. Bound phenolic compounds were

reconstituted in 2 mL of methanol/water (80:20, v/v), were frozen at -80 °C and stored until use (Ambriz-Pérez *et al.*, 2017).

## 2.8 Determination of total phenolic compounds

Phenolic content was determined by Folin-Ciocalteu (1927) assay, 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of free and bound phenolic extracts of Persian lime defatted peel were placed in tubes, adding 1200  $\mu\text{L}$  of distilled water, 100  $\mu\text{L}$  of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and 300  $\mu\text{L}$  of 7% sodium carbonate; after 8 minutes 380  $\mu\text{L}$  of water was added and incubate for 90 minutes. Finally, absorbance was measured at 765 nm using a spectrophotometer, and methanol 80% as blank. A calibration curve was prepared using gallic acid as standard and total phenolics were expressed as  $\mu\text{M}$  of gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per 1 g of dry weight (DW) of sample.

## 2.9 Antioxidant capacity

Antioxidant capacity was determined using the method described by Re *et al.* (1999). 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of the samples were placed in vials adding 1980  $\mu\text{L}$  of the 2,2'-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) radical (ABTS<sup>•+</sup>) ( $A_{734\text{nm}}=0.70\pm 0.02$ ); absorbance was measured 6 minutes after adding the radical, using a spectrophotometer at 734 nm. A calibration curve was prepared using Trolox as standard, and the ABTS<sup>•+</sup> inhibition was considered as antioxidant capacity and expressed as  $\mu\text{M}$  of Trolox equivalent (TE) per 1 g of dry weight (DW) of Persian lime defatted peel.

## 2.10 Statistical analysis

The data was expressed as mean values  $\pm$  standard deviation for triplicate measurements. Analysis of variance was performed using One-way or Two-way ANOVA depending the case. Significant difference

of means among method of oil extraction and type of phenolic were considered at  $p < 0.05$ . Statistical analysis was done by using SigmaPlot 12.5 software.

## 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 Oil extraction from Persian lime peel

Regarding to the oil extraction, the three methods used showed a large variation in the extraction percentage from the lime peel biomass, the oil yields oscillated from  $0.46\pm 0.03\%$  to  $13.23\pm 0.23\%$  (Table 1). These results are similar to reported for citrus peels by Palazzolo *et al.* (2013), which reported extraction percentages between 0.5 and 15.0% (w/v). Besides, the results obtained in the present work were higher than the range reported for lemon and orange peels (0.07 - 3.24%) by different authors (Ben Hsouna *et al.*, 2017; Fakayode & Abobi, 2018; Matsuo *et al.*, 2019; Özcan *et al.*, 2020).

The difference between the oil extraction efficiency in different experiments could be caused by several factors. Regarding to this, Dugo *et al.* (2000) and Palazzolo *et al.* (2013), reported that different aspects, such as the genotype, soil type, climate, vegetative cycle, nature of the fruit, age and the extraction process used, could affect the quantity, composition and quality of citrus peel oil extraction.

On the other hand, regarding to the effect of the extraction technique used on the oil extraction efficiency; the most effective method was the soxhlet with chloroform/methanol (SOX-CM), followed extraction by soxhlet with hexane (SOX-H) and the less effective was the steam distillation (STM-D) (Table 1). This behavior was reported previously on vegetable materials, for example, Salomé-Abarca *et al.* (2015) reported oil extraction from *Calendula officianalis* by different methods, obtaining  $0.9 \pm 0.1$  and  $6.7 \pm 0.2$  for STM-D and organic solvent extraction, respectively.

Table 1. Oil extraction percentage and oil density according to the extraction method.

Extraction method	STM-D	SOX-H	SOX-CM
Oil yield (%)	$0.468\pm 0.03\text{c}$	$7.348\pm 0.33\text{b}$	$13.236\pm 0.23\text{a}$
Oil density ( $\text{g}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ )	$0.857 \pm 0.005\text{c}$	$0.9598 \pm 0.0032\text{b}$	$1.5717 \pm 0.0044\text{a}$

Mean of triplicate  $\pm$  standard deviation. One-way ANOVA, Tukey test  $p < 0.05$ , different letters in the same row indicate statistically significant differences. STM-D: Steam distillation; SOX-H: Soxhlet with Hexane; SOX-CM: Soxhlet with Chloroform-Methanol.

Respecting to the soxhlet extraction (organic solvents), it was expected higher extractions efficiency compared with STM-D, due to the nature of the process, moreover, the difference between the solvents used could be caused by the use of organic solvents mixture (Chlor/MeOH), which allows to extract a major quantity of compounds compared with one solvent (Hexane). On the other hand, even though the soxhlet method it is considered as a useful technique for processing many samples with high efficiency, is limited by the large amount of pigments that could be dragged in their extracts. Such pigments can produce phytochemical interference and could also interfere with other potential applications (Salomé-Abarca *et al.*, 2015). It is important to remark that the oil yield obtained by STM-D (0.468%±0.03) is lower than the oil extraction of 3% reported by Ben Hsouna *et al.*, (2017) for *Citrus lemon* peel, using the same extraction technique, moreover, the results in the present work was similar to the range reported (0.07% - 1.02%) for lemon peel (Özcan *et al.*, 2020); also, it is within the range of 0.33% - 0.47% reported for *Citrus natsudaidai* peel (Matsuo *et al.*, 2019). Otherwise, the difference between the diverse experimental setups, could be explained because the effectiveness of STM-D techniques could be affected by many factors, like the size of the samples, time, temperature and the plant species (Golkamani & Moayyedi, 2016).

Concerning to the oil density, the values obtained ranged between 0.857 and 1.5717 (g·mL<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 1), showing significant difference between all the results. The values in the present work are similar to the density of 0.86 g·mL<sup>-1</sup> reported for orange peel oil (TsegayeFekadu *et al.*, 2019), also, most of the results were within the range reported between 0.84-0.91 for oils and fatty acids of *Citrus latifolia* (Gobato *et al.*, 2015; Goncalves *et al.*, 2018). Moreover, the oil extracted with SOX-CM showed a higher density than the reported previously, this could be explained due to the extraction process with a solvent mixture might dragged out other compounds which could affect the density of the lipid material obtained. On the other hand, density is an important factor which influences the oil behavior in food industries (also in others such as cosmetics, and pharmaceuticals); due to this, it is important that the oil density obtained through the different extraction techniques were within the recommended range (Sahasrabudhe *et al.*, 2017).

### 3.2 Fatty acids profiles for the oil extracted from Persian lime peel

The FAs composition Persian lime peel oil obtained after three different extraction methods are shown in Table 2. Palmitic, Oleic and Linoleic were the major FAs of Persian lime peel (presents on all the extraction techniques). The FAs contents in oils from Persian lime peel showed differences depending on the extraction methods used. Among them, the STM-D showed as principal FAs the Palmitic (26.77%) and Linoleic (22.47%); SOX-H presented the Linoleic (27.85%) and Palmitic (22.18%) as main FAs, and the SOX-CM showed the Oleic (35.58%) and Linoleic (23.35%) as principal FAs present. Also, the Linolenic (16.03%) and Myristic acids (10.15%) showed considerable presence only in STM-D. Moreover, the Caproic acid (10.97%) was only importantly detected in SOX-H. Furthermore, the contents of Oleic acid varied between 8.3 - 9.8% in SOX-H and SOX-CM, respectively and 35.58% for SOX-CM. Another important variation is in the presence of saturated (SFA) and unsaturated fatty acids (UFA) in the oils obtained. Concerning to these, the STM-D showed an equilibrated presence of fatty acids (SFA: 49.78% and UFA: 50.22%), meanwhile in SOX-H extraction the SFA showed majority presence (58.08%), and the SOX-CM accumulated the major UFA concentration (56.93%).

Özcan *et al.* (2020) reported the fatty acids profile of lemon peel oil, obtained through soxhlet extraction using Petroleum benzene as solvent, the major FAs present were Palmitic, Oleic, Linoleic and Linolenic acids, besides they reported an important presence of UFA (nearly to 70%). The authors also report variations in the FAs profiles, in response on the drying method prior to the oil extraction. On the other hand, Matsuo *et al.* (2019) presented the FAs profile of three cultivar of *Citrus natsudaidai* peel, wherein the major FAs acid present in all three cultivars was Linoleic acid, followed by Palmitic acid,  $\alpha$ -Linolenic acid and Oleic acid. These results showed that UFA are predominant in all three cultivars, representing approximately 70% of total fatty acid content. They also reported difference in fatty acids profiles and total content among the cultivars.

However, Juhaimi *et al.* (2019) reported that variations in FAs compositions of vegetable oils were significantly affected by using different extraction solvents and methods, these differences can be probably due to extraction method, sample composition, nature of the oil in material, and solvent types.

Table 2. Fatty acids profile for the oil recovered according to extraction method.

Fatty acid	Nomenclature	Fraction according to extraction method (%)		
		Steam-distillation	Soxhlet (Hexane)	Soxhlet (CHlor/MeOH)
Caproic	C6:0	1.04	10.97	ND
Caprylic	C8:0	1.27	6.34	ND
Capric	C10:0	0.9	0.26	2.6
Undeanoic	C11:0	0.67	0.45	ND
Lauric	C12:0	2.79	8.42	14.2
Tridecanoic	C13:0	2.45	1.03	ND
Myristic	C14:0	10.15	0.06	5.18
Myristoleic	C14:1, cis-9	ND	0.26	ND
Pentadecanoic	C15:0	ND	0.32	ND
Cis-10-pentadecenoic	C15:1, cis-9	ND	0.9	ND
Palmitic	C16:0	26.77	22.18	8.87
Palmitoleic	C16:1, cis-9	2.82	3.22	ND
Heptadecanoic	C17:0	ND	1.14	ND
Cis-10-Heptadecenoic	C17:1	0.87	ND	ND
Stearic	C18:0	2.36	4.13	7.79
Oleic	C18:1, cis-9	8.03	9.8	35.58
Linoleic	C18:2, cis 9,12	22.47	27.85	23.35
Linolenic	C18:3, cis 9, 12, 15	16.03	0.14	ND
Gama-linolenic	C18:3, cis 6, 9, 12	ND	0.15	ND
Araquidic	C20:0	ND	0.12	ND
Araquidonic	C20:4, cis-5,8,11,14	ND	0.51	ND
Heneicosanoic	C21:0	1.38	1.74	ND
Behenic	C22:0	ND	ND	4.43
<b>Total</b>		100	100	100
<b>Saturated</b>		49.78	58.08	43.07
<b>Unsaturated</b>		50.22	41.92	56.93

ND: not detected.

### 3.3 Potential use of Fatty acids extracted from Persian lime peel

#### 3.3.1 Potential uses in food

Regarding FAs extracted, the Palmitic, Oleic and Linoleic acids are found in the highest amounts, and thus presented valuable source of edible nutritive oils. The Palmitic and Oleic acids could be obtained directly from the dietary sources and also by endogenous synthesis in the body itself, whilst the Linoleic acid only could be obtained through the diet; besides, these FAs as phospholipids promote to maintain the structural integrity and the critical functioning of cellular membranes throughout the body. On the other hand, the Linolenic acid (with high presence in STM-D) only can be derived from dietary sources in the human body, and it has an important role in the pathways for desaturation and chain elongation

of omega-6 and omega-3 fatty acids (Kaur *et al.*, 2014; Zema *et al.*, 2018). Based in the general FAs profile obtained from the extraction techniques, the oils obtained from Persian lime peel showed good potential for edible nutritional products.

#### 3.3.2 Potential uses as biodiesel feedstock

Biodiesel is an alternative diesel fuel non-toxic, biodegradable, possesses inherent lubricity, and a high flash point, besides is considered free of sulfur and aromatic compounds, and usually is produced from vegetable oils and animal fats (Rashida *et al.*, 2013).

The physical and chemical properties of a biodiesel are determined by its organic composition. The principal properties influencing the behavior and quality of the biodiesel are: the size distribution of the FA chains and the unsaturation degree of these FA chains. Many of the biodiesel variations produced

from different feedstocks can be explained by these two properties. For example, an average degree of unsaturation was highly correlated with numerous biodiesel properties, including low temperature performance metrics, viscosity, and specific gravity, cetane number, and iodine value. On the other hand, increments in average unsaturation leads to lower cetane number and poorer oxidation stability, but improved low temperature performance (Fazal *et al.*, 2011; Sánchez-Roque *et al.*, 2020).

However, some authors proposed schemes respecting to two critical biodiesel properties such as: oxidative stability and low temperature performance. For an adequate low temperature performance, biodiesel feedstocks should content low concentrations of long-chain SFAs. At the same time, for better oxidative stability, biodiesel feedstocks should have low contents of PUFAs and elevated SFAs and MUFAs concentrations; it means that an equilibrate fatty acid profile is recommended in the feedstocks for obtaining biodiesel with an adequate performance (Kent-Hoekman *et al.*, 2012). According to the FAs profiles (SFA: 43.07%-58.08%, and UFA: 41.92%-56.93%) reported in the present work, the oils obtained from Persian lime peels presents an adequate potential for being biodiesel feedstock.

### 3.3.3 Other uses

The FAs present in the Persian lime peels could be used as inactive ingredients (excipients) in drug preparations. According to Rustan & Devron (2005), the use of lipid formulations as active substances carriers is growing rapidly. Moreover, the largest amount of lipids used in pharmaceuticals are employed in the production of fat emulsions, mainly for clinical nutrition but also as drug vehicles.

Moreover, FAs derivatives such as fatty alcohols, fatty acid esters, and surfactants are used in a wide range of cosmetic and personal care products in an increasing number of functions. Furthermore, the

increasing emphasis on sustainability will allow the importance of the use of agroindustrial residues (such as Persian lime peel) as FAs feedstocks for personal care products and cosmetics ingredients (Kelm & Wickett, 2017).

### 3.4 Persian lime peel defatted residual biomass (DRB) characterization

The protein, ash, organic matter, carbon and nitrogen contents (also C/N ratio) of the Persian lime peel DRB obtained from diverse oil extraction methods are shown in Table 3. Also, the complete Persian lime peel was characterized for comparative purposes. The highest protein content was 6.312±0.121% in SOX-H, followed by non-defatted biomass, STM-D and SOX-CM. Besides, ash content ranged from 2.272±0.14% and 5.063±0.07%. Furthermore, the organic matter content ranged from 52.755±0.999 to 53.823±1.155, showing no statistically significant difference between all the treatments. SOX-CM and SOX-H showed the highest carbon and nitrogen contents, respectively. However, the C/N ranged from 30.85 to 42.77, where SOX-CM showed the highest values.

No previous reports were found from Persian lime peel DRB. However, Olerumi *et al.* (2007) reported the proximate composition of *Citrus limonum* peel (dry matter: 89.35 %, crude protein: 9.30%, nitrogen free extract: 67.83%, ash: 5.18%); moreover, Egbuonu & Osuji (2016) analyzed the proximate content of *Citrus sinensis* peel (ash: 4.89±0.06%, protein: 11.0±0.10%, carbohydrate: 54.17±1.09%). Both works showed similar results compared with those obtained in the present study. On the other hand, Sztern & Pravia (2011) reported the C/N ratio and the organic matter content for citric residues, showing 22 and 33%, respectively. The organic matter content obtained in the present study was higher than the previous report, this could be explained because the oil extraction could affect the organic content in the DRB.

Table 3. Characterization of defatted residual biomass of lemon peel.

Lemon peel	Ash content (%)	Nitrogen content (%)	Protein content (%)	Carbon content (%)	Organic matter content (%)	C/N
Whole	4.698±0.192 <sup>b</sup>	0.985±0.056 <sup>a</sup>	6.155±0.35 <sup>a</sup>	31.202±0.67 <sup>a</sup>	53.823±1.155 <sup>a</sup>	31.67
Steam distillation	2.272±0.14 <sup>c</sup>	0.98±0.0463 <sup>a</sup>	6.126±0.29 <sup>a</sup>	30.6±0.579 <sup>a</sup>	52.755±0.999 <sup>a</sup>	31.22
Soxhlet (Hexane)	4.899±0.128 <sup>ab</sup>	1.01±0.019 <sup>a</sup>	6.312±0.121 <sup>a</sup>	31.162±0.528 <sup>a</sup>	53.724±0.91 <sup>a</sup>	30.85
Soxhlet (Chlor/MeOH)	5.063±0.073 <sup>a</sup>	0.735±0.084 <sup>b</sup>	4.592±0.526 <sup>b</sup>	31.441±0.549 <sup>a</sup>	54.205±0.947 <sup>a</sup>	42.77

Mean of triplicate ± standard deviation. One-way ANOVA, Tukey test  $p < 0.005$ , different letters in the same column indicate statistically significant differences.

Furthermore, to our knowledge this is the first report of C/N ratio for Persian lime peel DRB, however, the C/N ratio for citric residues was similar for most of the results in the present study, only the SOX-CM showed higher values of this parameters, this could be due to the higher oil extraction reached by this method.

### 3.5 Potential uses of Persian lime peel DRB

#### 3.5.1 Potential uses for livestock

Contemporary animal feeding development is searching for inexpensive readily available feed resources which can partially substitute the scarce and expensive concentrate feeds. Concerning to the use of Persian lime residues represent an opportunity to contribute in this topic. However, the oil extraction of the Persian lime peel generates a nutritionally advantage since it is not desirable for animal feed to present high lipid contents, which could have some negative effects, such as mould development, and rancidity with adverse consequences on the animal health (Olerumi *et al.* 2007). Furthermore, the protein, ash and organic matter contents, and also the characteristic of being revalorized material from agroindustry, showed that the Persian lime peel DRB presents a good potential for use as feedingstuffs in livestock production.

#### 3.5.2 Potential uses as biogas feedstock

The biogas is a gas mixture formed mainly by methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>). Furthermore, the production of biogas from agro-industrial waste has received attention as a promising option for a renewable energy source (Santos-Ballardo *et al.*, 2016). On the other hand, the relationship between the amount of carbon and nitrogen present in the organic matter is represented as the C:N ratio. Moreover, it is well reported that the substrates C:N relation for an adequate anaerobic digestion (AD) presents an optimum range (some authors reports values from 20:1 to 30:1). Furthermore, insufficient amounts of carbon or nitrogen could limit AD performance in digestion of industrial food wastes (Leung & Wang, 2016; Serrano-Meza *et al.*, 2020). The Persian lime DRB obtained by STM-D and SOX-H methods showed adequate C/N values for an optimum biogas production, meanwhile the obtained through SOX-DM presented an elevated C/N ratio (42.77) which means an elevated carbon content related with insufficient protein generation during the biogas production. Also, the high organic

matter content present in all the treatments is a positive factor for biogas production because represents more material to be transformed in energy (Santos-Ballardo *et al.*, 2016). In general, the Persian lime peel DRB showed a good potential for its use as feedstock in biogas production. It is important to remark that is necessary the determination of other parameters for determine the real potential for biogas production of this material, such as the total solids, volatile solids, pH, carbohydrates, etc.

#### 3.5.3 Agronomic utilization

Agronomic utilization of organic residues by direct land spreading is a suitable practice to rise the soil organic matter content and improve its fertility. The addition of organic matter improves soil raindrop impacts, water infiltration capacity and the resistance, therefore the water overflow and soil erosion are reduced. The presence of organic matter also leads to a high-water retention capacity, greater porosity, air permeability and better efficiency in nutrient utilization in the soil. Due to the high organic matter content and the C/N ratio present in the Persian lime peel DRB (obtained from all the treatments), this material presents a high potential as being used by direct land spreading as fertilizer (Zema *et al.*, 2018).

### 3.6 Phenolic composition of Persian lime peel DRB

The phenolic composition of free and bound phenolic extracts of Persian lime peel DRB is shown in Table 4. According to the statistical analysis realized, the oil extraction method and the type of phenolic extract influenced phenolic content in the extracts tested. Among the oil extraction methods, it was observed that STM-D significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) allowed to keep higher amount of free and bound phenolic compounds in peel extracts followed by SOX-H, it was observed even bigger phenolic content than non-defatted peel, which resulted unexpected, since Patrón-Vázquez *et al.* (2019) reported that phenolic compounds from *C. lemon* (L.) *Burn.f* fluors suffered thermal degradation during drying processes and prolonged exposure to heat (40-110 °C/12-24 h). Regarding type of phenolics, all samples showed bigger amount of free phenolic, except for the peel after STM-D, which surprising presented more bound phenolics. The above mentioned is probably due not only to the temperature used during STM-D, but also due to the solubility of phenolics present in the lime peel.



Table 4. Comparison of Phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of free and bound phenolic extracts of Persian lime peel, according oil extraction method.

Lemon peel	Total phenolic content ( $\mu\text{M GAE/g DW}$ )			Antioxidant capacity ABTS ( $\mu\text{M TE/g DW}$ )	
	FPE	BFE	Total	FPE	BFE
Non-defatted	0.78±0.003 <sup>cA</sup>	0.35±0.002 <sup>dB</sup>	1.14±0.002 <sup>c</sup>	106.49±0.39 <sup>cA</sup>	21.13±0.24 <sup>cB</sup>
After Steam distillation	0.844±0.003 <sup>aB</sup>	1.82±0.02 <sup>aA</sup>	2.66±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	138.53±0.19 <sup>bA</sup>	23.00±0.03 <sup>cB</sup>
After Soxhlet (Hexane)	0.79±0.003 <sup>bA</sup>	0.45±0.005 <sup>bB</sup>	1.24±0.007 <sup>b</sup>	182.29±0.19 <sup>aA</sup>	29.22±1.90 <sup>bB</sup>
After Soxhlet (Chlor/MeOH)	0.56±0.01 <sup>dA</sup>	0.40±0.003 <sup>cB</sup>	0.97±0.01 <sup>d</sup>	138.30±1.96 <sup>bA</sup>	38.42±0.14 <sup>aB</sup>

FPE: Free phenolic extract; BPE: Bound phenolic extract; GAE: gallic acid equivalent; TE: trolox equivalent; DW: dry weight of sample. Mean of triplicate  $\pm$  standard deviation. Two-way ANOVA, Tukey test  $p < 0.05$ , different lower-case letters indicate statistically significant differences in the same column (type of extraction method), different capital letters indicate statistically significant differences in the same row (type of phenolic extract).

The distillation method uses high temperatures for prolonged periods, which can cause chemical modifications of the peel components, allowing bigger exposition of phenolics, mostly those bound to other compounds, therefore, phenolics remain in the lime DRB available for their later extraction (Gök *et al.*, 2014). Presumably, a portion of the phenolics present in Persian lime peel were solubilized in the organic solvents used for oil extraction due to its direct contact, mostly in Chlor/MeOH, since phenolics are more soluble in polar organic solvents due to the presence of a hydroxyl group, such as alcohol mixtures (Zahoor *et al.*, 2016; Aryal *et al.*, 2019); therefore, they could be dragged out from the DRB which was analyzed. It is important to mention, that according to several authors, the peels of citrus contain more phenolic compounds compare to other citrus wastes, such as pulp and seeds (Abeyasinghe *et al.*, 2007; Goulas & Manganaris, 2012; Sir Elkhathim *et al.*, 2018).

### 3.7 Antioxidant capacity of Persian lime peel DRB

The antioxidant capacity (AoxC) of free and bound phenolic extracts of Persian lime peel DRB was determined by the free radical scavenging activity (Table 4). According to the statistical analysis performed, the method of oil extraction and the type of phenolic extract influenced antioxidant capacity in the tested extracts. Regarding type of phenolic, it was clearly observed that free phenolic extracts presented bigger AoxC than the bound ones. Among the extraction methods, it was observed that peel after SOX-H significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) showed higher AoxC, while the non-defatted peel presents the lowest; these results coincide with those reported

by Patrón-Vázquez *et al.* (2019), who also observed an increase in antioxidant capacity in lemon flours after exposure to heat. Even though peel after STM-D had bigger amount of phenolics, did not had the biggest AoxC, this is due to the AoxC not only depends on quantity, but the specific combination of phenolics present in samples, since the chemical composition and chemical structures of active extract components are determinant; for example, it has already been reported that phenolic compounds with ortho- and para-dihydroxylations or hydroxy and methoxy group or both are more effective than simple phenolics (Amzad & Dawood, 2015). Variations among peel samples after different oil extraction methods could be due to the fact that depending upon the polarity of the solvent used, and the chemical nature of the extractable components, the amounts and type of phenolics removed from the material could change (González-Quijano *et al.*, 2019; Ji *et al.*, 2020). Due to this, desired phenolics might be individually extracted by using a specific extraction method each one (Zahoor *et al.*, 2016; Rábago-Panduro *et al.*, 2020). Citrus fruits, like Persian lime, have several bioactive components including vitamin C, carotenoids, and phenolic compounds, however, phenolics are considered as the major contributors to their antioxidant potential due to their notorious free radical scavenging activity (Zahoor *et al.*, 2016). To our knowledge, although there are studies about lemon wastes, this is the first report of AoxC of Persian lime peel wastes; however, for better understanding of AoxC, further studies are needed, testing different Aox mechanisms, together with the isolation and identification of individual phenolic compounds as well as in vivo studies.

## Conclusions

The present study demonstrates that the oils extracted from the Persian lime peels presents a fatty acid profile with a high potential for their use in different industries such as food, bioenergy and even for feedstock, health, or cosmetics products. Also, defatted Persian lime residual biomass presented protein, ash, organic matter, carbon and nitrogen contents (as well as C/N ratio), representing a high potential for its use in diverse economic activities as livestock production, biogas generation and agronomic purposes. On the other hand, oil extraction method and type of extract (free or bound phenolics) influence the phenolic content in the extracts tested, besides, defatted residual biomass obtained through steam distillation showed higher phenolic compounds content; furthermore, free phenolic extracts showed greater AoxC than bound ones. Additionally, defatted residual biomass obtained after hexane extraction presented higher AoxC. Finally, as far we know, this is considered the first report of AoxC of defatted Persian lime peel wastes; however, further analysis of other Aox mechanisms, isolation and identification of individual phenolic compounds as well as in vivo studies are necessary for better understanding of AoxC of this material.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thanks to Instituto de Apoyo a la Investigación e Innovación (INAPI) and to the Programa de Investigación Vinculada a Sectores Estratégicos (PIVISE), for the financial support on the development of the project assigned as 2017/02-1081. Also thanks to "Citrika Rancho Agrícola S.A. de C.V" and its general manager Jose Paulo Jimenez Lindoro.

## Nomenclature

ABTS<sup>•+</sup>: 2,2'-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) radical; AoxC: antioxidant capacity; BRD: biomasa residual desgrasada; C/N: carbon/nitrogen ratio; Chlor/MeOH: chloroform/methanol mixture; DRB: defatted residual biomass; DW: dry weight; FAMEs: methyl esters of fatty acids; FAs: fatty acids; AD: anaerobic digestion; GAE: gallic acid equivalent; M: weight; MUFAs: mono-unsaturated fatty acids; PUFAs: poly-unsaturated fatty acids; SFA: saturated fatty acids; SFAs: saturated fatty acids; SOX-CM soxhlet with

Chlor/MeOH; SOX-H: soxhlet with hexane; STM-D: steam distillation; TE:Trolox equivalent; TKN: total Kjeldahl nitrogen; TOC: total organic carbon; UFA: unsaturated; v: volume.

## References

- American Public Health Association (APHA) & Franson, M., Ann, H. & American Water Works Association & Water Pollution Control Federation (1999). *Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater (20th ed)*. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists International AOAC. AOAC Official Method 969.33. Fatty Acids in Oils and Fats Preparation of Methyl Esters Boron Trifluoride Method First Action 1969. *AOAC official methods of analysis 1995* pp. 17
- Abeyasinghe, D. C., Li, X., Sun, C., Zhang, W., Zhou, C., & Chen, K. (2007). Bioactive compounds and antioxidant capacities in different edible tissues of citrus fruit of four species. *Food Chemistry 104*, 1338-1344.
- Ali, M., & Watson, I. A. (2013). Comparison of oil extraction methods, energy analysis and biodiesel production from flax seeds. *International Journal of Energy Research 38*, 614-625.
- Ambriz-Pérez, D., Ramos-Payan, R., Angulo-Escalante, M., Leon-Felix, J., Delgado-Vargas, F., Heredia, J. (2017). Antioxidant capacity and protective role of methanolic extracts of *Jatropha platyphylla* against oxidative stress on macrophage cells. *International Journal of Pharma and Bio Science 8*, 245-253.
- Amzad, H., M., & Dawood, S. M. (2015). A study on the total phenols content and antioxidant activity of essential oil and different solvent extracts of endemic plant *Merremia borneensis*. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry 8*, 66-71.
- Aryal, S., Baniya, M.K., Danekhu, K., Kunwar, P., Gurung, R., Koirala, N. (2019). Total phenolic content, flavonoid content and antioxidant potential of wild vegetables from western nepal. *Plants (Basel) 8*, 96.

- Badillo, M.D. (2011). Estudio comparativo del potencial nutritivo del limón persa (*Citrus latifolia* tanaka) Deshidratado de bandejas y en microondas. *Escuela superior politécnica de Chimborazo*, pp 70-80.
- Ben Hsouna, A., Ben Halima, N., Smaoui, S., Hamdi, N. (2017). *Citrus lemon* essential oil: chemical composition, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities with its preservative effect against *Listeria monocytogenes* inoculated in minced beef meat. *Lipids in Health and Disease* 16, 146.
- Bligh, E.G., Dyer, W.J. (1959). A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology* 37, 911-7.
- Costa, R., Albergamo, A., Arrigo, S., Gentile, F., & Dugo, G. (2019). Solid-phase microextraction-gas chromatography and ultra-high performance liquid chromatography applied to the characterization of lemon wax, a waste product from citrus industry. *Journal of Chromatography A*. <https://doi.10.1016/j.chroma.2019.06.049>
- Dalm, J.A., & Hogervost, P. (2011). Guía OIML G-24 Medición de densidad. *Bureau International de Métrologie Légale*. París, Francia. pp. 1-34.
- Dugo, P., Mondello, L., Dugo, L., Stancanelli, R., & Dugo, G. (2000). LC-MS for the identification of oxygen heterocyclic compounds in citrus essential oils. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis* 24, 147-154.
- Egbonu, A.C.C., & Osuji, C.A. (2016). Proximate compositions and antibacterial activity of *Citrus sinensis* (Sweet Orange) peel and seed extracts. *European Journal of Medicinal Plants* 12, 1-7.
- EPA (1999). Total organic carbon in water. Method 415.1. *United States Environmental Protection Agency*, SW-846 Manual. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office, 1-3.
- Fakayode, O.A., & Abobi, K.E. (2018). Optimization of oil and pectin extraction from orange (*Citrus sinensis*) peels: a response surface approach. *Journal of Analytical Science and Technology* 9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40543-018-0151-3>
- Fazal, M.A., Haseeb, A.S.M.A., & Masjuki, M.M. (2011). Biodiesel feasibility study: An evaluation of material compatibility; performance; emission and engine durability. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews* 15, 1314-1324.
- Fernández-Lambert, G., Aguilar-Lasserre, A., Azzaro-Pantel, C., Miranda-Ackerman, M. A., Purroy Vázquez, R., & del Rosario Pérez Salazar, M. (2015). Behavior patterns related to the agricultural practices in the production of Persian lime (*Citrus latifolia* tanaka) in the seasonal orchard. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 116, 162-172.
- Folin, C., & Ciocalteu, V. (1927). Tyrosine and tryptophan determination in proteins. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 73, 627- 650.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO): Global Food Losses and Food Waste*. Dusseldorf, Germany (2011). Interpack 2011.
- Gobato, R., Gobato, A., & Fedrigo, D.F.G. (2015). Molecular electrostatic potential of the main monoterpenoids compounds found in oil Lemon Tahiti - (*Citrus latifolia* Var Tahiti). *Parana Journal of Science and Education* 1, 1-10.
- Gök, A., Ismail Kirbaşlar, Ş., & Gülay Kirbaşlar, F. (2014). Comparison of lemon oil composition after using different extraction methods. *Journal of Essential Oil Research* 27, 17-22.
- Golkamani, M.T., & Moayyedi, M. (2016). Comparison of microwave-assisted hydrodistillation and solvent-less microwave extraction of essential oil from dry and fresh Citrus limon (*Eureka* variety) peel. *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, <https://doi.10.1080/10412905.2016.1145606>
- Golmohammadi, M., Borghei, A., Zenouzi, A., Ashrafi, N., & Taherzadeh, M.J. (2018). Optimization of essential oil extraction from orange peels using steam explosion. *Heliyon* 4, e00893 <https://doi.10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00893>
- Goncalves, D., Paludetti, M.F., Concalves, C.B., & Rodrigues, C.E.C. (2018). Extraction of oxygenated compounds from crude *Citrus latifolia* peel oil using ethanol/water

- mixtures as solvents: Phase equilibrium and continuous equipment operation. *Separation and Purification Technology* 199, 271-281.
- González-Quijano, G.K., Arrieta-Baez, D., Dorantes-Alvarez, L., Aparicio-Ozores, G., Guerrero-Legarreta, I. (2020). Effect of extraction method in the content of phytoestrogens and main phenolics in mesquite pod extracts (*Prosopis* sp.). *Revista Mexicana de Ingeniería Química* 18, 303-312.
- Goulas, V., & Manganaris, G.A. (2012). Exploring the phytochemical content and the antioxidant potential of Citrus fruits grown in Cyprus. *Food Chemistry* 131, 39-47.
- Gutiérrez-Grijalva, E.P., Ambriz-Pérez, D.L., Leyva-López, N., Castillo-López, R.I., & Heredia, J.B. (2016). Review: dietary phenolic compounds, health benefits and bioaccessibility. *Archivos Latinoamericanos de Nutrición* 66. Available in: <http://www.alanrevista.org/ediciones/2016/2/art-1/>
- Iglesias-Jiménez, E., & Pérez-García, V. (1992). Relationships between organic carbon and total organic matter in municipal solid wastes and city refuse composts. *Bioresource Technology* 41, 265-272.
- Ji, S., Yoo, T.K., Jin, S., Ju, H.J., Eom, S.H., Kim, J.S., Hyun, T.K. (2020). Changes in the phenolic compounds profile, antioxidant and anti-melanogenic activity from organs of *Petasites japonicas* under different extraction methods. *Revista Mexicana de Ingeniería Química* 19, 1453-1464.
- Jiménez-Nempeque, L.V., Gómez-Cabrera, Á.P., & Colina-Moncayo, J.Y. (2020). Evaluation of Tahiti lemon shell flour (*Citrus latifolia* Tanaka) as a fat mimetic. *Journal of Food Science and Technology* <https://doi.10.1007/s13197-020-04588-y>
- Juhaimi, F.A., Uslu, N., Badiker, E.E., Ghafoor, K., Mohamed-Ahmed, I.A., & Özcan, M.M. (2019). The effect of different solvent types and extraction methods on oil yields and fatty acid composition of safflower seed. *Journal of Oleo Science* 68, 1099-1104. <https://doi.10.5650/jos.ess19131>
- Kaur, N., Chugh, V., & Gupta, A.K. (2014). Essential fatty acids as functional components as foods -A review. *Journal of Food Science and Technology* 51, 2289-2303.
- Kelm, G.R., & Wickett, R.R. (2017). The role of fatty acids in cosmetic technology. *Fatty Acids* 385-404. <https://doi.10.1016/b978-0-12-809521-8.00012-x>
- Kent-Hoekman, S., Broch, A., Robbins, C., Cenicerros, E., & Natarajan, M. (2012). Review of biodiesel composition, properties and specifications. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews* 16, 143-169.
- Leung, D.Y.C., & Wang, J. (2016). An overview on biogas generation from anaerobic digestion of food waste. *International Journal of Green Energy* 13,119-131.
- Londoño, J., Sierra, J., Álvarez, R., Restrepo, A.M., Passaro, C. (2012) Aprovechamiento de los subproductos cítricos. In: Garcés LF, Pássaro C. Cítricos: cultivo, postcosecha e industrialización. *Corporación Universitaria Lasallista*, pp 307-342.
- Lopresto, C.G., Petrillo, F., Casazza, A.A., Aliakbarian, B., Perego, P., Calabro, V. (2014). A non-conventional method to extract D-limonene from waste lemon peels and comparison with traditional Soxhlet extraction. *Separation and purification technology* 24, 13-20.
- Macías-Cortés, E., Gallegos-Infante, J.A., Rocha-Guzmán, N.E., Moreno-Jiménez, M.R., Medina-Torres, L., González-Laredo, R.F. (2020) Microencapsulation of phenolic compounds: Technologies and novel polymers. *Revista Mexicana de Ingeniería Química* 19, 491-521.
- Matsuo, Y., Miura, L.A., Araki, T., Yoshie-Stark, Y. (2019), Proximate composition and profiles of free amino acids, fatty acids, minerals and aroma compounds in *Citrus natsudaidai* peel. *Food Chemistry* 279, 356-363.
- M'hiri, N., Ghali, R., Ben Nasr, I., & Boudhrioua, N. (2018). Effect of different drying processes on functional properties of industrial lemon byproduct. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection* 116, 450-460.

- Olerumi, O.I.A., Andrew, I.A., Ngi, J. (2007). Evaluation of the nutritive potential of the peels of some citrus fruit varieties as feedingstuffs in livestock production. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 6, 653-656.
- Özcan, M.M., Ghafoor, K.G., Juhaimi, F.A., Uslu, N., Babiker, E.E., Ahmed, I.A.M., Almusallam, I.A. (2020). Influence of drying techniques on bioactive properties, phenolic compounds and fatty acid compositions of dried lemon and orange peel powders. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-020-04524-0>
- Palazzolo, E., Laudicina, V.A., Germanà, M.A. (2013). Current and potential use of citrus essential oils. *Current Organic Chemistry* 17, 3042-3049.
- Patrón-Vázquez, J., Baas-Dzul, L., Medina-Torres, N., Ayora-Talavera, T., Sánchez-Contreras, A., García-Cruz, U., Pacheco, N. (2019). The effect of drying temperature on the phenolic content and functional behavior of flours obtained from lemon wastes. *Agronomy*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9090474>.
- Rábago-Panduro, L.M., Martín-Belloso, O., Welti-Chanes, J., Morales-de la Peña, M. (2020) Changes in bioactive compounds content and antioxidant capacity of pecan nuts [*Carya illinoensis* (Wangenh. K. Koch)] during storage. *Revista Mexicana de Ingeniería Química* 19, 1439-1452.
- Rashida, U., Ibrahimc, M., Yasinb, S., Yunusa, R., Taufiq-Yapd, Y.H., Knothee, G. (2013). Biodiesel from *Citrus reticulata* (mandarin orange) seed oil, a potential non-food feedstock. *Industrial Crops and Products* 45, 355- 359
- Re, R., Pellegrini, N., Proteggente, A., Pan-Nala, A., Yang, M., Rice-Evans, C. (1999). Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* 26, 1231-1237.
- Rispail, N., Morris, P., & Webb, K. (2005). Phenolic compounds: extraction and analysis. In: Márquez, A.J. (eds) *Lotus Japinicus Handbook*. pp 349-354.
- Rustan, A.C., Devron, C.A. (2005). *Fatty Acids: Structures and Properties*. *Encyclopedia of Life Sciences* & 2005, John Wiley & Sons. Pp: 1-7.
- Sahasrabudhe, S.N., Rodríguez-Martínez, V., O'Meara, M., Farkas, B.E. (2017). Density, viscosity, and surface tension of five vegetable oils at elevated temperatures: Measurement and modeling. *International Journal of Food Properties* 20, 1965-1981.
- Salomé-Abarca, L.F., Soto-Hernández, R.M., Cruz-Huerta, N., González-Hernández, V. (2015). Chemical composition of scented extracts obtained from *Calendula officinalis* by three extraction methods. *Botanical Sciences* 93, 633-638.
- Sánchez-Roque, Y., Pérez-Luna, Y.D.C., Moreira-Acosta, J., Farrera-Vázquez, N., Sebastian, J.P., Berrones Hernández, R. (2020). Optimization for the production of *Verrucodesmus verrucosus* biomass through crops in autotrophic and mixotrophic conditions with potential for the production of biodiesel. *Revista Mexicana de Ingeniería Química* 19, 133-146.
- Santos-Ballardo, D.U., Font-Segura, X., Sánchez-Ferrer, A., Barrena, R., Rossi, S., & Valdez-Ortiz, A. (2015). Valorization of biodiesel production wastes: anaerobic digestion of residual *Tetraselmis suecica* biomass and co-digestion with glycerol. *Waste Management & Research* 33, 250-257.
- Santos-Ballardo, D.U., Rossi, S., Reyes-Moreno, C., & Valdez-Ortiz, A. (2016). Microalgae potential as a biogas source: current status, restraints and future trends. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology* 15, 243-264.
- Servicio de Información Agroalimentaria y Pesquera (SIAP). (2020). *Cierre de la producción agrícola 2019*. Available in: <https://nube.siap.gob.mx/cierreagricola/> (Accessed July 26th, 2020).
- Serrano-Meza, A., Garzón-Zúñiga, M.A., Barragán-Huerta, B.E., Estrada-Arriaga, E.B., Almaraz-Abarca, N., & García-Olivares, J.G. (2020) Anaerobic digestion inhibition indicators and control strategies in processes treating industrial wastewater and wastes. *Revista Mexicana de Ingeniería Química* 19, 29-44.
- Sharma, K., Mahato, N., Cho, M. H., & Lee, Y. R. (2017). Converting citrus wastes into value-added products: Economic and environmentally friendly approaches. *Nutrition* 34, 29-46.

- Sir Elkhatim, K.A., Elagib, R.A.A., & Hassan, A.B. (2018). Content of phenolic compounds and vitamin C and antioxidant activity in wasted parts of Sudanese citrus fruits. *Food Science & Nutrition* 6, 1-6.
- Sztern, D., & Pravia, M.A. (2011). Manual para la elaboración de compost base conceptuales y procedimientos. *Organización Panamericana de la Salud, Organización Mundial de la Salud*. Pp: 1-69
- TsegayeFekadu, Seifu, T., Abera, A. (2019). Extraction of essential oil from orange peel using different methods and effect of solvents, time, temperature to maximize yield. *IJESC* 9, 24300-24308
- United States Department of Agriculture; USDA. (2020). *Citrus: World Markets and Trade*. Available in: <https://apps.fas.usda.gov/psdonline/circulars/citrus.pdf>.
- (Accessed July 24th, 2020).
- Vratislav, C., Bára, V., Luděk, Š., Miroslava, B. (2015). The Kjeldahl Method as a primary reference procedure for total protein in certified reference materials used in clinical chemistry. I. A Review of Kjeldahl methods adopted by laboratory medicine. *Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry* 45, 106-111.
- Zahoor, S., Farooq, A., Tahir, M., Bushra, S., Rahman, Q. (2016). Variation in antioxidant attributes and individual phenolics of citrus fruit peels in relation to different species and extraction solvents. *Journal of the Chilean Chemical Society* 61, 2884-2889.
- Zema, D.A., Calabro, P.S., Folino, A., Tamburino, V., Zappia, G., Zimbone, S.M. (2018). Valorisation of citrus processing waste: A review. *Waste Management* 80, 252-273