Vol. 23, No. 1(2024) Alim24156 Revista Mexicana de Ingeniería Química

Investigation of the potential of *Rosa damascena* vinegar fermented with probiotic lactic acid bacteria as a functional food

Investigación del potencial del vinagre de *Rosa damascena* fermentado con bacterias lácticas probióticas como un alimento funcional

P. Ertürkmen^{1*}, Ö. Bulantekin², D. Alp-Baltakesmez³

¹Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, Burdur Food, Agriculture and Livestock Vocational School, Food Processing Department, Burdur, Türkiye. ²Ağri Ibrahim Çeçen University, Dogubayazıt Ahmed-i Hani Vocational School, Ağri, Türkiye.

³Ardahan University, School of Tourism and Hospitality Management, Department of Gastronomy and Culinary Arts, Ardahan,

Türkiye.

Received: September 16, 2023; Accepted: November 22, 2023

Abstract

Rosa damascena Mill. is an aromatic plant rich in bioactive compounds with grown commonly native in Türkiye provinces of Isparta. There are many studies on this plant, however there are a limited number of studies on rose vinegar. In present study rose vinegar was produced by traditional method using 4 different batches lactic acid bacteria (*Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*, *LimosiLactobacillus fermentum*, *Weisella cibaria*, *LoigoLactobacillus coryniformis*) with various probiotic properties. Quality characteristics of vinegars such as physicochemical, microbiological and some bioactive compounds was determined during the storage period (1, 3 and 7 days). Compared to the control group without any strain, an increase was observed in the total phenolic and antioxidant values of the groups to which probiotic strain was added. Acetic, propionic, succinic, oxalic, citric, fumaric, malic and tartaric acid was detected in all rose vinegar. Volatile aroma compounds such as dodecene, tetradecanol, hexadecanol and linalool was determined in all vinegars, as well as phenyl ethyl alcohol (PEA), the major aroma compound associated with a rose honey-like odor. In the sensory analysis results, the group with the best evaluation score in terms of general acceptability was the group to which *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* was added. This result was attributed to many functional features of the strain. As a result, it was concluded that probiotic strains can be used in rose vinegar production to improve product consistency, quality control and functional properties.

Keywords: Vinegar, probiotic strains, Rosa damascena Mill, functional products.

Resumen

La rosa (*Rosa damascena* Mill.) es una planta aromática rica en compuestos bioactivos que crece comúnmente en la provincia de Isparta, Turquía. En este estudio, se produjo vinagre de rosas utilizando el método tradicional con la adición de cuatro lotes diferentes de bacterias lácticas probióticas (LAB), incluyendo *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum, LimosiLactobacillus fermentum, Weisella cibaria, LoigoLactobacillus coryniformis*, que poseen diversas propiedades probióticas. Se determinaron las características de calidad de los vinagres, como las propiedades fisicoquímicas, microbiológicas y algunos compuestos bioactivos, durante el período de almacenamiento (1, 3 y 7 días). En comparación con el grupo de control sin ninguna cepa, se observó un aumento en los valores de fenoles totales y antioxidantes en los grupos de LAB añadidos. Se detectaron ácido acético, propiónico, succínico, oxálico, cítrico, fumárico, málico y tartárico en todos los vinagres de rosas. También se encontraron compuestos aromáticos volátiles como dodeceno, tetradecanol, hexadecanol y linalol en todos los vinagres, así como alcohol feniletílico (PEA), el principal compuesto aromático asociado con un aroma a miel de rosa. La adición de vinagre con *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* afectó significativamente el desarrollo de las LAB y las bacterias ácido acéticas (AAB) y aumentó las puntuaciones de la evaluación sensorial en cuanto a la aceptabilidad general. Como resultado, estas cepas probióticas de LAB pueden utilizarse en la producción de vinagre de rosas para mejorar la consistencia del producto, el control de calidad y las propiedades funcionales.

Palabras clave: Vinagre, cepas probióticas, Rosa damascena Mill, productos funcionales.

^{*}*Corresponding author. E-mail:* perturkmen@mehmetakif.edu.tr; https://doi.org/10.24275/rmiq/Alim24156 *ISSN*:1665-2738, *issn-e*: 2395-8472

1 Introduction

The popularity of traditional unpasteurized vinegar produced at home using various substrates with fermentable sugars has recently increased due to its health benefits. Research has indicated that the vinegar production methods have a significant impact on the bioactive components, suggesting that vinegar produced using traditional methods may exhibit higher functional properties compared to those produced industrially (Budak *et al.*, 2014; Şengün *et al.*, 2022) In Türkiye, a wide range of vinegar is produced using different raw materials through both traditional and industrial processes (Şengün *et al.*, 2022).

The rosa genus offers a variety of raw materials suitable for vinegar production, including approximately 200 species, among which Rosa damascena, a crucial species within the Rosacea family, holds particular significance (Alizadeh & Fattahi, 2021). R. damascena Mill., a perennial shrub native to Europe and the Middle East and known as 'Isparta Gülü' in Türkiye, is recognized for its pink flowers (Ulusov et al., 2009; Baydar & Baydar, 2017). The petals and fruits of the rose plant contain abundant bioactive substances such as essential oils, tannins, carotenoids, anthocyanins (Kumar et al., 2013; Alizadeh & Fattahi, 2021), organic acids, vitamins, and minerals. Quercetin and ellagic acid, known as anti-mutagen and anti-carcinogen due to their strong antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, are among the phenolic plants found in roses (Nowaka & Gawlik-Dzikib, 2007; Torusdağ & Bakkalbaşı, 2022). It is also stated that the antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds is due to their inhibitory properties that allow them to act as reducing agents and hydrogen donors (Alizadeh & Fattahi, 2021).

In vinegar production, the raw material undergoes a typical fermentation process where yeasts convert simple sugars into alcohol, followed by oxidation of the alcohol to acetic acid by acetic acid bacteria (AAB) (Gullo & Giudici, 2008). Lactic acid bacteria (LAB), which are predominant in most fermented products (Liu et al., 2011), play a crucial role in enhancing the taste and aroma of vinegar while reducing its pH value (Sengün, 2013; Sengün et al., 2022). LAB aids in preventing the growth of undesirable microorganisms (Peng et al., 2015; Borrás-Enríquez et al., 2023). The organic acids produced by LAB disrupt the outer membrane of bacteria, hinder macromolecular synthesis, increase intracellular osmotic pressure, and promote the formation of antibacterial peptides (Chen et al., 2016).

Markkinen *et al.*, (2019) suggested that the effect on phenolic content is related to species specificity, and phenolic content is generally increased

by enzymatic reactions during fermentation. Li *et al.* (2023) state that vinegar production using mixed culture has come into prominence in recent years. These mixed culture consist of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* and, it has more B vitamins, total flavonoids, total organic acids, amino acids and higher antioxidant capacity.

Different therapeutic properties of the highly prized rose have been investigated in some studies (Moein et al., 2016). However, there is gap in knowledge on R. damascena vinegar produced specifically using probiotic strains. The primary aim of the present study is to produce vinegar from R. damascena Mill, which is stated to have many bioactive components. The secondary aim is to increase the functional properties of this vinegar. For this purpose, rose vinegar was produced with traditional metod using Lactiplantibacillus plantarum, LimosiLactobacillus fermentum, Weisella cibaria and LoigoLactobacillus corvniformis strains with cultural and biochemical properties (fermentation of carbohydrates, salt tolerance, growth at different temperatures, gas production from glucose, H₂S production) and various functional/probiotic properties (lysozyme, antibiotic, phenol resistance, aggregation and adhesion ability) were determined in previous study (Alp, 2018). In addition, the vinegar samples for their physicochemical properties (brix, pH, total acidity, color), microbiological composition (lactic acid bacteria, acetic acid bacteria, yeastmold), total phenolic compounds, antioxidant activity, organic acid, and aroma composition, as well as sensory characteristics were determined.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Samples of R. damascena Mill. were collected from the rose gardens located in Isparta province of Türkiye which is 990 m altitude. The petals were handpicked from 05:00 to 06:00 am date May 20 in 2022 covering potential flowering period and were kept at 25°C in sacks. Petals was washed and crushed for vinegar production without waiting on the same day. Four different LAB used in this study were isolated from various fermented cheeses, pickle and fresh fruits, as indicated in Table 1. These LAB have been previously characterized for their phenotypic descriptions such as Gram-staining, catalase-oxidase and mobility test, biochemical, and probiotic properties by (Alp, 2018), following the protocols according to the methods used by Schillinger & Lücke (1987). Strains by sequence 16S rRNA gene sequencing, Blast numbers and codes, are given in previous study by (Alp, 2022).

Table 1. The names of the vinegar groups and the lactic acid bacteria and their isolation source

Group name	Lactic acid bacteria content	Source
Control	Any strain was not added	
Group 1	Lactiplantibacillus plantarum	Pickle
Group 2	Limosilactobacillus fermentum	White cheese
Group 3	Weisella cibaria	Tulum cheese
Group 4	Loigolactobacillus coryniformis	Bitter orange



- Acetic acid fermentation 60 days
- Filtration was applied to the vinegar samples

Control vinegar group	Defined probiotic starter incubated in MRS broth at 37 °C for 24 hours
<u>(1.251-</u>)	Fresh cultures were inoculated each vinegar group with 0.5 MacFarland and at a rate of 2%
	Fermentation at 30 °C for 18 hours

Figure 1. A schematic flow depicting the process for adding defined probiotic starter cultures with rose vinegar.

2.2 Production of probiotic-added traditional rose vinegar

Three groups rose samples of each weighing 1000g were placed in 5 L glass jars. Next, 1.5 L of water was added to each batch and stored at a temperature of +4°C for one week. After this process, 0.3 g/L of yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Instant dry yeast, Pakmaya, Türkiye) and 50 g of honey (Özkovan, Türkiye) were introduced into each jar. In order to complete the ethyl alcohol fermentation, the samples were sealed with their lids closed and kept for 20 days. After the alcohol fermentation, 150 mL of homemade vinegar was added to each jar, and the remaining volume was adjusted to 5 L by adding water. The lids of the jars were secured with a cloth to allow oxygen to enter. The vinegars were stored in a dark environment at a temperature range of 28-

30°C and underwent acetic acid fermentation. Periodic measurements of acidity were performed throughout this period. The acetic acid fermentation process concluded after 60 days (Özdemir et al., 2022) and immediately after the samples were filtered. The tubes containing the LAB strains were centrifuged (Sigma 2-16KL, Germany) three times at 4000 x g for 10 minutes, each time in a sterile 0.9% NaCl solution. The pellet was dissolved with an equal volume of sterile saline solution. Each relevant group vinegar received an inoculation from the active LAB strains at a concentration of $2 \times 10^7 \log$ CFU/mL. It was left to ferment for 7 days. Table 1 provides the names of the rose vinegar groups produced using four different lactic acid bacteria, a control group in the Food Technology Laboratory of Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, and the corresponding LAB used. Figure 1 illustrates a schematic diagram depicting

the process of adding starter cultures to rose vinegar. All vinegar production and analyses were made in triplicate and the mean values and standard deviations were calculated.

2.3 Determination of viability in rose vinegar of LAB and other microorganisms

Under aseptic conditions, 1 mL samples of rose vinegar were obtained from each group, and decimal dilutions were prepared. AAB counts were performed using Glucose-Yeast Extract-Calcium Carbonate Agar (Merck, Germany). The plates were then incubated at 30°C for 5-10 days, as described by De Vero *et al.*, (2006). LAB counts were determined using De Man Rogosa and Sharpe Agar (Merck, Germany), and incubated at 30°C for two days, following the method outlined by (Maragkoudakis *et al.*, 2006). Potato Dextrose Agar (Merck, Germany) acidified with 10% tartaric acid (Merck, Germany) was employed to assess the mold-yeast counts in the vinegar samples. The plates were incubated at 25°C for 3-5 days, following the procedure described in FDA-BAM.

2.4 Determination of the physicochemical parameters of the rose vinegar

The °Brix values of the rose vinegar samples were determined using a digital refractometer (HANNA HI 96801, Germany). pH measurements of the vinegar samples were carried out using a pH meter (Mettler Toledo SG23-FK2, Switzerland). The vinegar samples' color values (L*, a*, b*) were measured using a Color Spectrophotometer (3NH YS3020, China) based on the CIE-LAB system. The total acidity results of the samples were obtained by titrating with 0.1N NaOH and expressed as a percentage of acetic acid (Park *et al.*, 2023).

2.5 Total phenolic content

The total phenolic contents in rose vinegar were determined using the Folin-Ciocalteau colorimetric method, and the results were expressed in milligrams of gallic acid equivalent per liter (mg GAE/L) (Hasperué *et al.*, 2016; Franco-Vásquez *et al.*, 2023).

2.6 Determination of antioxidant activity

The total antioxidant activity of the samples was determined using the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) analysis method, as described by P. Molyneux. Rose vinegar samples were diluted with 80% methanol and treated with the DPPH solution. The mixture was then allowed to stand for thirty minutes at room temperature in the dark. The absorbance values of the samples were measured using a spectrophotometer (Optizen Pop Nano Bio, Mecasys Co., Ltd., Korea) at a wavelength of 515 nm. The results were expressed as μ mol Trolox equivalent per mL (μ mol TE/mL) (Başyiğit *et al.*, 2020; Cortes-Rodriguez *et al.*, 2022).

2.7 Determination of organic acids

The organic acid profile of vinegar samples was determined using the Thermo Scientific Ultimate 3000 UPLC and Thermo Scientific TSO Fortis system (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) at Suleyman Demirel University Innovative Technologies Center Application and Research Center (YETEM), following the method employed by (Coelho et al., 2018). In organic acid sample preparation, a Supelco C18 solid phase cartridge (Waters Associates, Ireland) was initially conditioned in 3 mL of methanol and washed with 10 mL of pure water. A 5-mL rose vinegar was mixed with 5 mL of 2% H₃PO₄ and filtered with coarse filter paper. From the resulting filtrate, 1 mL was diluted with 3 mL extraction solution (0.01 M KH₂PO₄, pH 8.0). One milliliter of this diluted solution was passed through the cartridge, collecting the eluate in a tube. The cartridge was then washed with 2 mL of the extraction solution. The eluates were combined and a volume of 10 μ L was injected into the HPLC. The analysis involved a chromatographic separation of organic acids on a Hypersil Gold RP C18 (1.9 μ m), 50 x 2.1 mm UHPLC column (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). Chromatographic evaluations were conducted using Xcalibur software. For the analyses, mobile phases consisting of 95% water and 5% methanol with 0.1% formic acid and 4 mM ammonium formate, as well as 95% methanol and 5% water with 0.1% formic acid and 4 mM ammonium formate, were employed. An isocratic flow rate of 0.6 mL/min was maintained, and each injection lasted for 25 minutes, with an injection volume of 10 μ L. The HPLC column temperature was set at 40°C.

2.8 Determination of aroma volatiles

Volatile components in vinegar were determined by using gas chromatography mass spectrometry (Shimadzu GC-MS-2010 Plus, Japan) with solid phase micro-extraction technique (SPME). All the vinegars were filtered by 0.45μ m teflon filter. For this purpose, LAB added vinegar samples were placed in an amber headspace vial (Supelco 27159 15 mL clear PTFE /Silicone septa Cap) on the 7th day of incubation and closed with an airtight silicone/PTFE cap. After these vials were kept at 60°C for 30 minutes, volatile components were absorbed in the headspace with 75 μ m thick Carboxene-Polydimethylsiloxane (CAR/PDMS) coated fused silica SPME fiber (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA). Capillary column of Restek (Rx-5 Sil MS 30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 μ m, catalog no: Restek 13623) was used in the device. GC-MS parameters of the method used in our study; injection temperature: 250°C, column flow rate: 1.61 mL/min, column temperature reached 250°C in 4°C increments per minute after standing at 40°C for 2 minutes, and at 250°C 5 minutes was determined as waiting and used in the analysis. To identify the peaks obtained after injection, after entering the method parameters, the C7-C30 alkane series was injected into the device, respectively, and defined in four different (Wiley, Nist, Tutor and FFNSC) GC-MS libraries. The "Retention Index" (RI) values calculated using the retention times of each peak and the retention times of the hydrocarbon standard were taken as reference.

2.9 Sensory analysis

Vinegar samples were evaluated on the seventh day of fermentation by 12 female and 10 male panelists (Food Engineer, dietitian, doctor, student and cook) aged between 18-40 from the Gastronomy and Culinary Arts and Cookery Department of Ardahan and AğrıIbrahim Çeçen University in Türkiye. Experiment adhered to TSE (Turkish Standardization Institute) standards number 1880 EN 13188 for hedonic testing of vinegar in controlled environments (TSE, 2016). Panellists with illnesses like the flu, a cold, or allergic rhinitis who could make it difficult to assess the sample sensory were not allowed to participate. About 100 mL of the rose vinegar was dosed in glass and placed at room temperature until serving under white light. The evaluations were made in the mid-morning between 10:00 and 13:00 am. The overall acceptability of the vinegar samples was evaluated by considering odor and taste profiles with drinking (aromatic intensity, ethyl acetate odor, sharpness, wine character, yeast aroma and taste, bitterness, fluidity. The evaluation process employed a 9-point scale (ranging from 1 for very low to 9 for very high) for each evaluator to express the intensity of the specific characteristics (Gomez, 2006). The means and standard deviations for all attributes were determined for each sample shown to the participant in each session.

2.10 Statistical analysis

The experiments were conducted in triplicate, and the acquired data were reported as mean \pm standard deviation. The statistical software Minitab 17 (Minitab, Inc., State College, PA, USA) was employed for data analysis. The differences were assessed using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The Tukey test was also employed to examine the effects of microorganisms utilized in vinegar production on specific vinegar properties.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Viability of LAB and other microorganisms in rose vinegar during the fermentation

According to Figure 2, the initial number of viable cells in all probiotic groups was 7.17 log CFU/mL. However, after three days of fermentation, there was a decrease of approximately 1 logarithm in all groups except Group 4. The average viable cell count for all groups at the end of this period was 6 logarithms. Unfortunately, Group 4 did not meet the required live probiotic microorganisms count by the third day's end. In the other groups, the count remained at 6 logarithms or higher. Group 4 had a survivability of 71.96% at the end of the period, while the other three groups showed higher survivability, averaging 84%. The Lpb. plantarum DA100 strain exhibited a slight decrease in viability from 84.79% to 83.96% between the third and seventh days. The initial viability count, which was 7.17 log CFU/mL, decreased by approximately 1 logarithm to 6.02 log CFU/mL by the end of the analysis (Figure 2). These results indicate that the Lpb. plantarum DA100 strain survived in vinegar throughout the 7-day period and managed to maintain the minimum number of viable microorganisms required for the product to be considered a probiotic during this time. This outcome could be attributed to the strain being isolated from pickles and the vinegar possibly responding quickly to stress factors such as low pH. For the L. fermentum DA134 strain, the viability count decreased from 6.06 log CFU/mL to 5.02 log CFU/mL between the third and seventh days. The survival percentage of this strain significantly decreased from 84.51% to 70.01% by the end of the storage period (Figure 2). Although the L. fermentum DA134 strain survived in vinegar by the end of the third day, it did not survive until the seventh day. Consequently, it could not maintain the minimum viable microorganisms required for the produced vinegar to be called a probiotic during this time.

Many researchers have isolated LAB genera such as *Lactobacillus*, *Weissella*, and *Pediococcus* from traditional vinegar (Şengün *et al.*, 2022). The *Weisella cibaria* DA28 strain, isolated from Tulum cheese and previously recognized for various probiotic properties (Alp & Kuleaşan, 2022), managed to maintain the desired viability until the end of the seventh day, with a fermentation completion of 6.00 log CFU/mL (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Viable cell count of LABs, AABs and yeast-mold in all groups

The survivability of this strain slightly decreased by the end of the seven days, concluding the analysis with 83.68% viability. The L. coryniformis DA268 strain, isolated from bitter orange and previously identified to possess numerous functional properties, decreased approximately 2 logarithms by the end of the third day, resulting in a viability count of 5.16 log CFU/mL. This strain survived in vinegar until the end of the 7-day period; however, it did not maintain the minimum number of viable microorganisms required for the produced vinegar to be considered a probiotic. Chen et al. (2017) reported that using a mixed culture containing both S. cerevisiae and L. plantarum significantly improved the quality of citrus vinegar. According to (Peng et al., 2015), LAB increases the aroma components and affects the final product's technological properties and microbial stability by producing organic acids. In light of the current study, the selected LAB strains can effectively ferment rose vinegar as a suitable substrate and significantly enhance its quality. Additionally, it was observed that with an increase in storage time, a significant accumulation of metabolites reduced the components available for LAB in rose vinegar.

Acetic acid is the key component of vinegar fermentation, imparting its unique taste and aroma and being responsible for its fundamental sensory properties (Mizzi *et al.*, 2022; Öztürk *et al.*, 2015). In the present study, the AAB counts of the samples ranged from 4.76 to 6.54 log CFU/mL (p<0.05). The highest AAB count of 6.54 log CFU/mL was observed in Group 1 at the end of fermentation. Group 2 and Group 4 exhibited significant changes in AAB viability between the initial and the seventh

day (Figure 2). The AAB count in Group 2 decreased from an initial count of 5.70 log CFU/mL to 3.54 log CFU/mL on the third day and further to 2.52 log CFU/mL on the seventh day. Similarly, Group 4 decreased from an initial count of 6.36 log CFU/mL to 3.62 log CFU/mL on the third day and further to 2.34 log CFU/mL on the seventh day. These results align with the findings of (Öztürk et al., 2015) and (Sengün et al., 2022). Yeasts metabolize carbohydrates into ethanol, carbon dioxide, and various secondary products, playing a significant role in alcohol fermentation. However, mold growth is undesirable during a healthy vinegar fermentation process. In the present study, the average yeast count for all groups was 3.30 log CFU/mL at the end of fermentation (Figure 2). These findings are generally consistent with the yeast and mold count ranges reported by (Solieri et al., 2006).

It has been stated by various researchers that Rosa sp., one of the plants rich in secondary metabolites and active substances, contains various bioactive components with antidepressant, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial anticancer. effects (Kheirkhahan et al., 2020; Özdemir & Budak 2022). Ulusov et al. (2009) stated that antibacterial properties of R. damascena Mill can be attributed to its high phenylethyl alcohol content which has known the antimicrobial properties. Shohayeb et al. (2014) stated that the essential oil and different extracts of R. damascena Mill showed that moderate broadspectrum antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive, Gram-negative, acid-fast bacteria. Based on this point, the decrease in the number of microorganisms during the storage period in our study can be attributed to the antimicrobial effect of these substances.

3.2 Physicochemical properties of rose vinegar

Table 2 presents the physicochemical properties of the rose vinegar groups. There were significant differences between the initial and final pH values observed in all groups regarding the pH results. This finding suggests that pH may be a reliable indicator for studying the short-term fermentation progression in rose vinegar samples. Furthermore, the pH results ranged from 3.59 to 3.68 at the end of fermentation. It is worth noting that although (Öztürk *et al.*, 2015) reported higher pH values for traditional vinegar, the average pH of the rose vinegar in this study was determined to be above 3.60, which is higher than that of traditional vinegar.

After the 7th storage period, the titration acidity (TA) was measured between 2.69-3.40 g/L. The TA values of rose vinegar samples, produced using different LAB strains, varied significantly regarding vinegar variety and storage duration ($p \le 0.05$). The control group, which did not utilize the LAB strain,

exhibited the lowest TA level at the end of storage. Researchers have stated that this increase in TA value is primarily attributed to the production of acetic acid and other organic acids. In contrast, the pH stability may be due to the weak acidity of the organic acid (Özdemir *et al.*, 2022). The current study findings reveal that the brix values of the rose vinegar samples ranged from 2.85 to 3.05%. According to previous reports by (Budak *et al.*, 2014) and (Öztürk *et al.*, 2015), the brix values of fruit vinegar ranged from 1.02% to 20.80%.

In the case of vinegar, color serves as a crucial indicator of quality (López et al., 2005). The change in color of rose vinegar fermented with four LAB groups during the 7-day fermentation period is presented in Table 2. The rose vinegar samples with LAB additions exhibited L* values ranging from 25.36 to 25.55 a* value ranging from 0.68 to 1.01, and b* values ranging from 2.36 to 2.75 The variations in color values were statistically significant for the vinegar group and the storage duration (p < 0.05). This may be attributed to the degradation of phenolic substances resulting from the pH drop during LAB fermentation of rose vinegar samples with a high red component. (Cruz et al., 2018) reported that vinegar with a higher phenolic content typically displays lower whiteness/darkness values but higher red-component color values.

3.3 Total phenolic content of rose vinegar

The total phenolic content of vinegar was determined within the 723.32-950.20 mg GAE/L range. Initially, during fermentation, the phenolic content of the control group was lower than that of the vinegar samples with LAB-added groups (p<0.05). (Akman et al., 2019) infused dried apples with probiotics and observed an increase in the amount of phenolic substances in their samples, which aligns with the findings of our study. A decrease in the amount of phenolic substances was observed in all vinegar samples during the storage period. (Öztürk et al., 2015) produced grape, apple, artichoke, pomegranate, apple-lemon, and hawthorn vinegar using the traditional method. The total phenolic content of this vinegar was determined as follows: 75.01-2228.79, 40.44-434.88, 236.67, 257.53, 201.64, and 306.80 mg GAE/L, respectively. Two studies on rose vinegar reported total phenolic contents of 438.68 mg GAE/L and 855.64 mg GAE/L (Kadiroğlu, 2018; Özdemir & Budak, 2022). The results of our study are consistent with the existing literature regarding the total phenolic substance content.

3.4 Antioxidant activity of rose vinegar

The DPPH values of the vinegar samples were determined within the range of 13.38-16.34 μ m TE/mL.

		2	67	
Analysis	Group name	24 h of storage	3 days of storage	7 days of storage
pH	Control	3.62 ± 0.01^{E}	3.62 ± 0.01^{E}	3.61 ± 0.00^{E}
1	Group	$1\ 3.62 \pm 0.01^E$	3.59 ± 0.00^{F}	3.59 ± 0.00^{F}
	Group 2	3.68 ± 0.01^{A}	3.68 ± 0.01^{A}	3.68 ± 0.01^{A}
	Group 3	3.65 ± 0.00^{CD}	3.64 ± 0.01^{D}	3.67 ± 0.00^{AB}
	Group 4	3.66 ± 0.01^{BC}	3.62 ± 0.01^E	3.66 ± 0.01^{BC}
Total titration	Control	2.50 ± 0.05^E	2.65 ± 0.05^{DE}	2.69 ± 0.03^{CDE}
aciditiy (%)	Group 1	2.80 ± 0.09^{BCDE}	2.63 ± 0.12^{DE}	3.04 ± 0.24^{ABCDE}
	Group 2	2.76 ± 0.21^{CDE}	3.51 ± 0.22^{AB}	3.27 ± 0.29^{ABCD}
	Group 3	2.65 ± 0.52^{DE}	3.58 ± 0.21^{A}	3.40 ± 0.34^{ABC}
	Group 4	2.99 ± 0.39^{ABCDE}	2.85 ± 0.13^{BCDE}	3.40 ± 0.35^{ABC}
Brix (%)	Control	2.77 ± 0.04^{D}	2.87 ± 0.04^{BCD}	2.87 ± 0.04^{BCD}
	Group 1	2.75 ± 0.04^{D}	2.77 ± 0.04^{D}	2.85 ± 0.04^{BCD}
	Group 2	2.92 ± 0.04^{ABC}	3.05 ± 0.05^{A}	3.05 ± 0.05^{A}
	Group 3	2.82 ± 0.04^{CD}	2.92 ± 0.04^{ABC}	2.97 ± 0.04^{AB}
	Group 4	2.87 ± 0.04^{BCD}	2.82 ± 0.04^{CD}	2.92 ± 0.04^{ABC}
L*	Control	25.21 ± 0.21^{CDE}	25.14 ± 0.27^{E}	25.18 ± 0.16^{DE}
	Group 1	25.54 ± 0.01^{ABC}	25.44 ± 0.01^{ABCDE}	25.44 ± 0.00^{ABCDE}
	Group 2	25.36 ± 0.07^{BCDE}	25.73 ± 0.28^{A}	25.39 ± 0.02^{BCDE}
	Group 3	25.42 ± 0.03^{ABCDE}	25.39 ± 0.01^{BCDE}	25.50 ± 0.02^{ABCD}
	Group 4	25.55 ± 0.06^{AB}	25.41 ± 0.06^{ABCDE}	25.39 ± 0.02^{BCDE}
a*	Control	0.85 ± 0.06^{BC}	0.80 ± 0.10^{BCD}	0.78 ± 0.01^{BCD}
	Group 1	0.83 ± 0.01^{BCD}	0.78 ± 0.02^{BCD}	0.72 ± 0.02^{CD}
	Group 2	0.79 ± 0.01^{BCD}	1.01 ± 0.12^{A}	$0.75 \pm 0.05 B^{CD}$
	Group 3	0.83 ± 0.02^{BCD}	0.81 ± 0.02^{BCD}	0.68 ± 0.07^{D}
	Group 4	0.89 ± 0.11^{AB}	0.75 ± 0.04^{BCD}	0.72 ± 0.01^{CD}
b*	Control	2.46 ± 0.02^{B}	2.39 ± 0.00^{B}	2.38 ± 0.05^{B}
	Group 1	2.63 ± 0.01^{AB}	2.51 ± 0.04^{AB}	2.36 ± 0.02^{B}
	Group 2	2.52 ± 0.01^{AB}	2.42 ± 0.40^{B}	2.37 ± 0.03^{B}
	Group 3	2.63 ± 0.01^{AB}	2.52 ± 0.02^{AB}	2.40 ± 0.02^{B}
	Group 4	2.75 ± 0.14^{A}	2.42 ± 0.01^{B}	2.40 ± 0.03^{B}
Total	Control	723.32 ± 0.01^{M}	723.74 ± 0.00^{L}	720.33 ± 0.04^{N}
phenolic	Group 1	950.20 ± 0.09^{A}	870.31 ± 0.10^{D}	791.96 ± 0.01^{I}
content mg	Group 2	926.19 ± 0.03^{B}	891.19 ± 0.11^{C}	805.20 ± 0.06^{H}
GAE/L	Group 3	857.49 ± 0.10^{E}	825.52 ± 0.23^{G}	788.17 ± 0.14^{J}
	Group 4	839.45 ± 0.14^{F}	815.41 ± 0.22^{O}	767.46 ± 0.20^{K}
DPPH	Control	13.38 ± 0.01^{J}	13.35 ± 0.00^{J}	13.30 ± 0.00^{J}
μ m	Group 1	16.34 ± 0.01^{A}	14.91 ± 0.05^{E}	13.64 ± 0.01^{I}
TE/ml	Group 2	16.02 ± 0.01^B	15.75 ± 0.01^{C}	13.83 ± 0.01^{H}
	Group 3	15.21 ± 0.01^{D}	14.32 ± 0.06^G	13.73 ± 0.03^{HI}
	Group 4	14.75 ± 0.04^{F}	14.32 ± 0.12^{G}	13.40 ± 0.08^{J}

Table 2. pH, total titration acidity, brix, color, total phenolic content and DPPH values of vinegars (initial, after 3 and 7 days of storage).

Özdemir & Budak (2022) reported rose vinegar's ORAC and TEAC values as 14.86 and 8.24 μ m TE/mL, respectively. Upon the addition of probiotics, the phenolic content of the vinegar increased, leading to an observed enhancement in antioxidant activity. Generally, the total phenolic content of a product exhibits a direct relationship with its antioxidant activity (Liu *et al.*, 2019). This finding can be attributed to the effective binding of rose vinegar

to cell tissues by the LAB strains used in the present study, consequently exerting a protective effect on phenolic compounds. The reduction in phenolic and antioxidant contents of rose vinegar samples after fermentation was associated with a decline in LAB count in rose vinegar samples during storage. Therefore, tolerance to high levels of phenols is necessary in the selection of the strain to be used in LAB-added products (Montijo-Prieto *et al.*, 2023). It should be taken into consideration that this decrease may also have a bactecidal effect of phenolic compounds. The presence of different phenolic compounds, their concentrations may affect the metabolism and viability of LAB. Ruíz-Barba *et al.* (1990) stated that the bactericidal effect of phenolic compounds was related to alterations at two different levels of the cellular infrastructure and that the bacterial surface of the *Lpb plantarum* strain became irregular and rough after 24 hours of incubation in phenolic compounds.

3.5 Organic acid compounds in rose vinegar samples

In the present study, eight different organic acids, namely acetic acid, propionic acid, succinic acid, oxalic acid, citric acid, fumaric acid, malic acid, and tartaric acid, were quantified initially and after seven days of storage (Figure 3). The primary organic acids detected in the vinegar samples were acetic, propionic, and oxalic. Acetic acid, the predominant organic acid in vinegar, imparts a mild spicy flavor to the palate (Wu et al., 2021). In our study, the highest concentration of acetic acid was found in Group 4 at the end of the seventh day, with a concentration of 44.20 mg/100 mL, followed by Group 3 with 36.45 mg/100 mL. The production of organic acids can vary among different types of LAB (lactic acid bacteria) and even among individual strains within a species (Peev et al., 2017). This variability was also observed in our study. Notably, Groups 1 and 4 exhibited relatively high levels of oxalic acid production, which can be attributed to the heterofermentative activities of LAB.



Figure 3. Organic acids determined at the end of the 24th hour (a) and 7th day (b) in all vinegar groups.

The yeast's production/consumption of organic acids during fermentation is mainly attributed to carbon metabolism. Furthermore, organic acids can be derived from the glyoxylate pathway and may serve as intermediates and/or by-products of glycolysis (Chidi et al., 2015). It is hypothesized that yeasts may have produced some organic acids detected in rose vinegar through these pathways. One such organic acid is citric acid. A study investigating citric acid production by yeast strains reported an average production of 25 g/L (Hesham et al., 2020). Although our study did not detect a significant amount of citric acid, it is plausible that yeasts contribute to its production in addition to LAB. Martinez et al., (2019) indicated that both yeasts and LAB could produce succinic acid, which aligns with our findings as we observed the production of this organic acid in all groups. Furthermore, a slight increase in the concentration of malic acid was observed from the initial 24 hours to the seventh day. This increase resulted in higher acidity in the vinegar, leading to a slightly sour taste.

3.6 Aroma profile of rose vinegar

A total of 16 different aroma compounds were identified in the vinegar samples (Table 3), with phenyl ethyl alcohol (PEA) determined as the major aroma compound. PEA is a colorless oily liquid with a mild and warm rose-honey-like odor, exhibiting moderate to weak strength. Its presence significantly influences the organoleptic properties of vinegar (Ranadheera et al., 2019). Furthermore, rose vinegar samples contained high levels of 1-Tetradecanol and 1-Hexadecanol, along with 1-Dodecene and ndodecane, which are pleasant volatile compounds commonly associated with floral aromas and found in the composition of some essential oils (Ranadheera et al., 2019; Hanousek Čiča et al., 2022). Over the storage period, rose vinegar supplemented with probiotics increased the levels of 1-Dodecene and n-Dodecene, aligning with the findings of (Gagnon et al., 2021). Similarly, the levels of 1-hexadecanol, a volatile organic compound found in roses (Yang et al., 2019), and 1-Tetradecanol, associated with a fruity and coconut-like aroma (Fei et al., 2023), along with alcohol-derived compounds such as heptadecanol, hexadecanol, and n-tetradecane, increased at the end of the storage period. This observation suggests that probiotic strains contribute to the fermentation process during storage, resulting in higher concentrations of volatile alcohol compounds. Linalool, a functional monoterpene compound commonly found in citrus peel oil and grapefruit oil, represents a prominent volatile component of essential oils and finds applications in healthcare products (Jirapakkul et al., 2013; Filannino et al., 2017). In the present study, linalool in rose vinegar enhanced their bioavailability.

The unique combination of volatile compounds and non-volatile phytochemicals in rose vinegars, particularly when fermented with probiotic LAB strains, may contributes to its distinct sensory attributes and potential health benefits. The interplay between these compounds gives rose vinegar its characteristic aroma, flavor, and functional properties, setting it apart from other fermented products. Further research and comparative studies can provide deeper insights into the specific mechanisms behind these unique properties.

3.7 Sensory analysis results of rose vinegar

The sensory analysis scores of the rose vinegar samples are presented in Figure 4. The aromatic intensity scores of the samples ranged from 5 to 8. Among the rose vinegars, Group 2 and Group 1 exhibited the most intense aroma (p < 0.05). Previous studies have shown that short-chain volatile organic acids can influence the acidity, aroma, and overall quality of vinegar (Sossou et al., 2009). Traditional vinegar production processes produce high ethyl acetate levels during alcohol fermentation (Marrufo-Curtido et al., 2012; Öztürk et al., 2015). In this study, the evaluation scores for ethyl acetate odor ranged from 5 to 7, with Group 1 exhibiting the highest intensity. This variation is attributed to the use of Lpb. plantarum culture in the production of Group 1. The sharpness scores of the vinegar samples ranged from 3 to 5. Group 3 and Group 4 vinegar samples had the highest total acidity values after fermentation and showed the highest sharpness. In contrast, the control sample with the lowest total acidity value displayed the lowest sharpness (p < 0.05). The sharpness values demonstrated consistency with the total acidity values. Vinegar derived from vegetable sources tends to exhibit lower sharpness than those produced from fruit. During vinegar fermentation, vinegar produced through efficient acetic acid fermentation may retain alcohol residues, imparting a wine-like character to the vinegar (Gomez Maria, 2006). The evaluation scores for wine characters ranged from 2 to 4. Group 4 exhibited the highest wine character, while Group 1 and Group 3, which also had low phenyl ethyl alcohol production, displayed the lowest scores for this attribute at the end of fermentation (p < 0.05). These observed differences among the vinegar samples may be attributed to the varying efficiency levels of the strains used in production. When evaluating the yeast aroma and taste of the vinegar samples, generally low scores ranging from 2 to 3 were obtained (p < 0.05). This difference is believed to be due to the low yeast content in the roses used for production.

Table 3. Aroma	volatiles	found i	n vinegar	samples	(% of	total	area)
ruore 5. moniu	voluties	round r	n vinegui	Sumples	(/0 01	ioiui	urcu)

	24 h storage				7 days of storage					
	Control	G1	G2	G3	G4	Control	G1	G2	G3	G4
L-Linalool	1.66 ± 0.02	1.59 ± 0.20	1.68±0.09	1.58 ± 0.11	1.59±0.35	0.84±0.12	0.99 ± 0.30	0.97±0.18	1.05 ± 0.30	1.04±0.29
Phenyl ethyl alcohol	62.37 ± 0.10	65.12±0.18	61.65±0.12	63.03±0.23	70.91±0.24	58.48 ± 0.35	59.29 ± 0.12	60.80±0.19	57.89 ± 0.07	60.18±0.14
Azulene	1.18 ± 0.03	1.13±0.13	1.19 ± 0.17	1.12 ± 0.05	1.06 ± 0.10	0.79 ± 0.10	0.84 ± 0.14	0.83±0.12	0.89 ± 0.12	0.84 ± 0.07
3-Octanol	0.97 ± 0.08	1.06 ± 0.02	1.05 ± 0.07	1.12 ± 0.21	1.26 ± 0.07	1.29 ± 0.16	1.48 ± 0.10	1.36±0.19	1.26±0.19	1.26±0.18
1-Dodecene	10.26 ± 0.14	9.30 ± 0.07	11.21 ± 0.18	10.51 ± 0.04	10.27±0.12	10.44 ± 0.14	10.87 ± 0.05	10.21 ± 0.11	12.11±0.33	10.99±0.12
n-Dodecene	1.66 ± 0.08	1.66±0.19	1.82 ± 0.03	1.77 ± 0.08	1.86 ± 0.06	2.09 ± 0.22	1.88 ± 0.13	1.95 ± 0.18	2.26 ± 0.27	2.20 ± 0.30
α -Citronellol	1.52 ± 0.02	0.86 ± 0.27	0.56 ± 0.04	0.53±0.19	0.86 ± 0.02	0.42 ± 0.09	0.74±0.33	0.73 ± 0.10	0.58 ± 0.18	0.94±0.12
Vetiverol	1.00 ± 0.14	0.40 ± 0.11	0.28±0.19	0.33±0.05	0.27 ± 0.08	0.25 ± 0.03	0.30 ± 0.38	0.29 ± 0.16	0.37±0.19	0.26±0.19
Buthoxyethoxyethyl	1.00 ± 0.03	1.06±0.16	1.12 ± 0.17	1.12 ± 0.16	1.19 ± 0.12	1.04 ± 0.24	1.19 ± 0.12	1.17±0.29	1.26 ± 0.12	1.31±0.22
acetate										
1-Tetradecanol	8.73±0.04	8.84±0.15	9.81±0.04	9.19 ± 0.02	0.93±0.20	8.77±0.20	9.88±0.17	9.73±0.06	10.53 ± 0.07	9.94±0.10
n-Tetradecane	0.90 ± 0.17	0.86±0.13	0.84 ± 0.11	0.79 ± 0.30	0.66 ± 0.06	2.51±0.17	0.99 ± 0.08	0.92 ± 0.32	1.00 ± 0.16	0.84±0.09
1-Hexadecanol	4.16±0.14	4.12±0.07	4.55 ± 0.08	4.46 ± 0.14	4.57±0.05	5.01±0.19	5.43 ± 0.04	5.84±0.39	5.79 ± 0.22	5.23±0.11
n-Hexadecane	0.49 ± 0.04	0.40 ± 0.16	0.49 ± 0.06	0.53 ± 0.07	0.53 ± 0.04	3.22 ± 0.05	0.79 ± 0.30	0.92 ± 0.08	0.95 ± 0.20	0.99 ± 0.15
1-Heptadecanol	1.87 ± 0.07	1.86 ± 0.05	2.03 ± 0.05	1.90 ± 0.15	1.92 ± 0.02	2.63±0.12	2.96 ± 0.12	2.43 ± 0.02	2.42 ± 0.16	2.15±0.17
n-Nonadecane	1.18 ± 0.04	0.66 ± 0.03	0.66 ± 0.09	0.72 ± 0.09	0.80 ± 0.15	0.96 ± 0.22	1.24 ± 0.38	0.68 ± 0.11	0.53±0.19	0.68 ± 0.09
Other compounds	$1.04{\pm}0.12$	1.06 ± 0.09	1.05 ± 0.22	1.31 ± 0.12	1.33 ± 0.11	1.25 ± 0.30	1.14 ± 0.21	$1.17{\pm}0.12$	1.11 ± 0.10	$1.15{\pm}0.05$



Figure 4. The sensory analysis scores of the rose vinegar samples.

According to reports, vinegar is characterized by a predominant sour taste, followed by slight sweetness, saltiness, and bitterness, which arise from the interplay and balance of different flavor components (Chen *et al.*, 2017). The bitterness scores of the vinegar samples were low, ranging from 1 to 2. The control group

exhibited the highest level of bitterness. The bitterness scores were similar to those reported in a study on commercial grape vinegar by (Kang *et al.*, 2020). The fluidity scores of the vinegar samples ranged from 6 to 7, with Group 2 and Group 3 demonstrating higher fluidity than the other groups. This difference may be attributed to exopolysaccharide (EPS) production variations by the strains used. Regarding overall acceptability, Group 1 was the most preferred vinegar (p < 0.05), followed by Group 3. Additionally, the high value of the b* brightness parameter may influence the overall impression score of the rose vinegar produced in this study.

Conclusions

Lpb. plantarum, L. fermentum, W. cibaria and L. coryniformis which have probiotic properties were employed to produce rose vinegar. During the storage period satisfactory viability counts determined in the rose vinegar for LAB and AAB especially, Lpb. plantarum strains. When a general evaluation is made for the study; it has been determined that during the fermentation process, phenolics are metabolized and lactic and acetic acid production occurs, which increases antioxidant activity and total phenolic compound content. Furthermore, results of organic acids and volatile compounds analaysis associated with aroma profiles indicated that the presence of components with significant health effects. The results showed that utilization of LAB as an alternative approach to producing rose vinegar is noteworthy, as it contributes to the generation of bioactive products and enhances rose vinegar's functional and sensory attributes. The use of rose and LAB in vinegar production is very effective for the production of an innovative and healthy product, may further increase its usage area and consumption alternatives as a direct functional food and nutraceutical for future studies.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Suleyman Demirel University Innovative Technologies Center Application and Research Center (YETEM) and Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University Food Technology Laboratory (Burdur, Türkiye). Thank the Edit Translation Services (Izmir, Türkiye) for the English edition.

References

Akman, P. K., Uysal, E., Özkaya, G., Tornuk, F., & Durak, M. Z. (2019). Development of probiotic carrier dried apples for consumption as snack food with the impregnation of *Lactobacillus paracasei*. *LWT 103*, 60-68. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.lwt.2018.12.070

- Alizadeh, Z., & Fattahi, M. (2021). Essential oil, total phenolic, flavonoids, anthocyanins, carotenoids and antioxidant activity of cultivated Damask Rose (*Rosa damascena*) from Iran: With chemotyping approach concerning morphology and composition. *Scientia Horticulturae 288*, 110341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scienta.2021.110341
- Alp, D. (2018). Investigation of some probiotic properties of lactic acid bacteria isolated from natural sources and determination of their ability to prevent pathogenic attachment in intestine model. Dissertation, Suleyman Demirel University.
- Alp, D. (2022). Strain-dependent effectivity, and protective role against enzymes of S-layers in *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* strains. *Journal* of Basic Microbiology 62(5), 555-567. https: //doi.org/10.1002/jobm.202100590
- Alp, D., & Kuleaşan, H. (2022). Some characteristics of Turkish-style fermented garlic pickles produced using four different potentially probiotic starter cultures. *Gula* 47(5), 790-803. https://doi.org/10.15237/gida. gd22038
- Başyiğit, B., Alaşalvar, H., Doğan, N., Doğan, C., Berktaş, S., & Çam, M. (2020). Wild mustard (*Sinapis arvensis*) parts: compositional analysis, antioxidant capacity and determination of individual phenolic fractions by LC-ESI-MS/MS. *Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization* 14, 1671-1681. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11694-020-00415-2
- Baydar, H., & Goktürk-Baydar, N. (2017). Essential oils and phenolic compounds, antiradical and antioxidant activities of distillation products in oil-bearing rose (*Rosa damascena* Mill.). *Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 23(1), 1-9.
- Beganović, J., Frece, J., Kos, B., Leboš Pavunc, A., Habjanič, K., & Şušković, J. (2011). Functionality of the S-layer protein from the probiotic strain *Lactobacillus helveticus* M92. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 100*, 43-53. https: //doi.org/10.1007/s10482-011-9563-4
- Borrás-Enríquez, A. J., Gonzalez-Escobar, J. L., Delgado-Portales, R. E., Pérez-Barba, M. R., & Moscosa-Santillán, M. (2023). Screening of main factors in microencapsulation of two

Bifidobacterium strains by spray drying. *Revista Mexicana de Ingeniería Química 22*(3). https: //doi.org/10.24275/rmiq/Alim2319.

- Budak, N. H., Aykin, E., Seydim, A. C., Greene, A. K., & Guzel-Seydim, Z. B. (2014). Functional properties of vinegar. *Journal of Food Science* 79(5), R757-R764. https://doi.org/10. 1111/1750-3841.12434
- Chen, H., Chen, T., Giudici, P., & Chen, F. (2016). Vinegar functions on health: Constituents, sources, and formation mechanisms. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety 15*(6), 1124-1138. https://doi. org/10.1111/1541-4337.12228
- Chen, Y., Huang, Y., Bai, Y., Fu, C., Zhou, M., Gao, B., & Xu, N. (2017). Effects of mixed cultures of Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Lactobacillus plantarum in alcoholic fermentation on the physicochemical and sensory properties of citrus vinegar. LWT 84, 753-763. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2017.06.032
- Chidi, B. S., Rossouw, D., Buica, A. S., & Bauer, F. F. (2015). Determining the impact of industrial wine yeast strains on organic acid production under white and red wine-like fermentation conditions. *South African Journal of Enology and Viticulture 36*(3), 316-327. https://doi.org/10.21548/36-3-965
- Coelho, E. M., da Silva Padilha, C. V., Miskinis, G. A., de Sá, A. G. B., Pereira, G. E., de Azevêdo, L. C., & dos Santos Lima, M. (2018). Simultaneous analysis of sugars and organic acids in wine and grape juices by HPLC: Method validation and characterization of products from northeast Brazil. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis 66*, 160-167. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2017.12.017
- Cortes-Rodriguez, M., Gil-González, J. H., & Ortega-Toro, R. (2022). Influence of the feed composition and the spray drying process on the quality of a powdered mixture of blackberry (*Rubus glaucus* Benth). *Revista Mexicana de Ingeniería Química 21*(3). https://doi.org/ 10.24275/rmiq/Alim2855.
- Cruz, M., Correia, A. C., Gonçalves, F., & Jordão, A. (2018). Phenolic composition and total antioxidant capacity analysis of red wine vinegars commercialized in Portuguese market. *Ciência e Técnica Vitivinícola 33*(2), 102-115. https://doi.org/10.1051/CTV/ 20183302102
- De Vero, L., Gala, E., Gullo, M., Solieri, L., Landi, S., & Giudici, P. (2006). Application of

denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis to evaluate acetic acid bacteria in traditional balsamic vinegar. *Food Microbiology* 23(8), 809-813. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.fm.2006.01.006

- Fei, Z., Xie, D., Wang, M., Zhang, Y., Zhang, H., Du, Q., & Jin, P. (2023). Enhanced biotransformation of bioactive components and volatile compounds of bamboo (*Phyllostachys* glauca McClure) leaf juice fermented by probiotic Streptococcus thermophiles. LWT 173, 114363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. lwt.2022.114363
- Filannino, P., Di Cagno, R., Trani, A., Cantatore, V., Gambacorta, G., & Gobbetti, M. (2017). Lactic acid fermentation enriches the profile of biogenic compounds and enhances the functional features of common purslane (*Portulaca oleracea* L.). Journal of Functional Foods 39, 175-185. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jff.2017.10.022
- Franco-Vásquez, D. C., Carreón-Hidalgo, J. P., Gómez-Linton, D. R., Román-Guerrero, A., Franco-Vásquez, A. M., Arreguín-Espinosa, R., & Pérez-Flores, L. J. (2023). Conventional and non-conventional extraction of functional compounds from jiotilla (*Escontria chiotilla*) fruits and evaluation of their antioxidant activity. *Revista Mexicana de Ingeniería Química* 22(1). https://doi.org/10. 24275/rmiq/Alim2963.
- Gagnon, M., Goulet, C., LaPointe, G., Chouinard, P.Y., & Roy, D. (2021). Effect of two thermoresistant non-starter lactic acid bacteria strains on volatilome profile during Cheddar ripening simulation. *International Journal of Food Microbiology 357*, 109382. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2021. 109382
- Góamez, M. L. M., Bellido, B. B., Tesfaye, W., Fernandez, R. M. C., Valencia, D., Fernandez-Pachón, M. S., & González, A. M. T. (2006). Sensory evaluation of sherry vinegar: Traditional compared to accelerated aging with oak chips. *Journal of Food Science* 71(3), S238-S242. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2006.tb15647.x
- Gullo, M., & Giudici, P. (2008). Acetic acid bacteria in traditional balsamic vinegar: phenotypic traits relevant for starter cultures selection. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 125(1), 46-53. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ijfoodmicro.2007.11.076

- Hanousek Čiča, K., Lukin, P., Derewiaka, D., Mrvčić, J., & Stanzer, D. (2022). Chemical composition, physical properties, and aroma profile of ethanol macerates of mistletoe (*Viscum album*). *Beverages* 8(3), 46. https: //doi.org/10.3390/beverages8030046
- Hasperué, J. H., Rodoni, L. M., Guardianelli, L. M., Chaves, A. R., & Martínez, G. A. (2016). Use of LED light for Brussels sprouts postharvest conservation. *Scientia Horticulturae 213*, 281-286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scienta.2016.11.004
- Hesham, A. E. L., Mostafa, Y. S., & AlSharqi, L. E. O. (2020). Optimization of citric acid production by immobilized cells of novel yeast isolates. *Mycobiology* 48(2), 122-132. https://doi.org/10.1080/12298093. 2020.1726854
- Jirapakkul, W., Tinchan, P., & Chaiseri, S. (2013). Effect of drying temperature on key odourants in kaffir lime (*Citrus hystrix* DC, Rutaceae) leaves. *International Journal of Food Science* & Technology 48(1), 143-149. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2012.03170.x
- Kadiroğlu, P. (2018). FTIR spectroscopy for prediction of quality parameters and antimicrobial activity of commercial vinegars with chemometrics. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 98(11), 4121-4127. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8929
- Kang, M., Ha, J. H., & Lee, Y. (2020). Physicochemical properties, antioxidant activities and sensory characteristics of commercial gape vinegars during long-term storage. *Food Science and Technology* 40, 909-916. https://doi.org/10.1590/fst. 25119
- Kıvrak, Ş., & Kıvrak, I. (2018). Investigation of chemical composition and nutritional value of truffle mushroom (*Tuber nitidum* Vittad.). Suleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Fen Bilim. Enstitüsü Derg 22, 339-344. https://doi. org/10.19113/sdufbed.22646
- Kumar, R., Sharma, S., Sood, S., Agnihotri, V. K., & Singh, B. (2013). Effect of diurnal variability and storage conditions on essential oil content and quality of damask rose (*Rosa damascena* Mill.) flowers in north-western Himalayas. *Scientia Horticulturae 154*, 102-108. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2013.02. 002
- Kheirkhahan, P., Ghavami, M., & Sharifan, A. (2020). Chemical composition, antioxidant

activity and antimicrobial effect of *Rosa* damascena Mill. essential oil against Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhi. Journal of Food Biosciences and Technology 10(2), 63-74.

- Li, Y. N., Luo, Y., Lu, Z. M., Dong, Y. L., Chai, L. J., Shi, J. S., ... & Xu, Z. H. (2023). Metabolomic analysis of the effects of a mixed culture of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* on the physicochemical and quality characteristics of apple cider vinegar. *Frontiers in Nutrition 10*, 1142517.
- Liu, Q., Tang, G. Y., Zhao, C. N., Gan, R. Y., & Li, H. B. (2019). Antioxidant activities, phenolic profiles, and organic acid contents of fruit vinegars. *Antioxidants* 8(4), 78. https: //doi.org/10.3390/antiox8040078
- Liu, S. N., Han, Y., & Zhou, Z. J. (2011). Lactic acid bacteria in traditional fermented Chinese foods. *Food Research International* 44(3), 643-651. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.foodres.2010.12.034
- López, F., Pescador, P., Güell, C., Morales, M. L., García-Parrilla, M. C. & Troncoso, A. M. (2005). Industrial vinegar clarification by cross-flow microfiltration: effect on colour and polyphenol content. *Journal of Food Engineering* 68(1), 133-136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2004.05.021
- Maragkoudakis, P. A., Zoumpopoulou, G., Miaris, C., Kalantzopoulos, G., Pot, B., & Tsakalidou, E. (2006). Probiotic potential of *Lactobacillus* strains isolated from dairy products. *International Dairy Journal 16*(3), 189-199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. idairyj.2005.02.009
- Marrufo-Curtido, A., Cejudo-Bastante, M. J., Durán-Guerrero, E., Castro-Mejías, R., Natera-Marín, R., Chinnici, F., & García-Barroso, C. (2012). Characterization and differentiation of high quality vinegars by stir bar sorptive extraction coupled to gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (SBSE-GC-MS). *LWT* 47(2), 332-341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt. 2012.01.028
- Martinez, S. J., Bressani, A. P. P., Dias, D. R., Simão, J. B. P., & Schwan, R.F. (2019). Effect of bacterial and yeast starters on the formation of volatile and organic acid compounds in coffee beans and selection of flavors markers precursors during wet fermentation. *Frontiers in Microbiology 10*, 1287. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01287

- Markkinen, N., Laaksonen, O., Nahku, R., Kuldjärv, R., & Yang, B. (2019). Impact of lactic acid fermentation on acids, sugars, and phenolic compounds in black chokeberry and sea buckthorn juices. *Food Chemistry* 286, 204-215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem. 2019.01.189
- Mizzi, J., Gaggìa, F., Bozzi Cionci, N., Di Gioia, D., & Attard, E. (2022). Selection of acetic acid bacterial strains and vinegar production from local maltese food sources. *Frontiers in Microbiology 13*, 897825. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fmicb.2022.897825
- Moein, M., Etemadfard, H., & Zarshenas, M. M. (2016). Investigation of different Damask rose (*Rosa damascena* Mill.) oil samples from traditional markets in Fars (Iran); focusing on the extraction method. *Trends in Pharmaceutical Sciences* 2(1), 51-58.
- Molyneux, P. (2004). The use of stable free radical diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) for estimating antioxidant activity. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology* 26, 211-219.
- Montijo-Prieto, S., Razola-Díaz, M. D. C., Barbieri, F., Tabanelli, G., Gardini, F., Jiménez-Valera, M., ... & Gómez-Caravaca, A. M. (2023). Impact of lactic acid bacteria fermentation on phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of avocado leaf extracts. *Antioxidants* 12(2), 298. https://doi.org/10.3390/ antiox12020298
- Nowak, R., & Gawlik-Dziki, U. (2007). Polyphenols of *Rosa L*. leaves extracts and their radical scavenging activity. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C* 62(1-2), 32-38. https: //doi.org/10.1515/znc-2007-1-206
- Özdemir, G. B., Özdemir, N., Ertekin-Filiz, B., Gökırmaklı, Ç., Kök-Taş, T., & Budak, N. H. (2022). Volatile aroma compounds and bioactive compounds of hawthorn vinegar produced from hawthorn fruit (*Crataegus tanacetifolia* (lam.) pers.). *Journal of Food Biochemistry* 46(3), e13676. https://doi. org/10.1111/jfbc.13676
- Özdemir, N., & Budak, N. H. (2022). Bioactive compounds and volatile aroma compounds in rose (*Rosa damascena* Mill.) vinegar during the aging period. *Food Bioscience* 50, 102062. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2022. 102062
- Öztürk, I., Çalişkan, O., Tornuk, F., Ozcan, N., Yalçin, H., Baslar, M., & Sagdic, O.

(2015). Antioxidant, antimicrobial, mineral, volatile, physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of traditional home-made Turkish vinegars. *LWT-Food Science and Technology* 63(1), 144-151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2015.03.003

- Park, W. L., Cho, H. D., Kim, J. H., Min, H. J., & Seo, K. I. (2023). Antioxidant activity and blood alcohol concentration lowering effect of fermented Hovenia dulcis fruit vinegar. *Food Science and Biotechnology* 32(3), 299-308. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-022-01190-0
- Peev, B. P., Tasheva, Y. R., Christova-Bagdassarian, V. L., Popova, T. P., Tishkova, J. A., & Tonev, A. S. (2017). Comparative study on lactic acid production of different lactic acid bacteria through RP-HPLC method. *Emergent Life Sciences Research 3*, 11-17. https:// doi.org/10.7324/elsr.2017.311117
- Peng, Q., Yang, Y., Guo, Y., & Han, Y. (2015). Analysis of bacterial diversity during acetic acid fermentation of Tianjin Duliu aged vinegar by 454 pyrosequencing. *Current Microbiology* 71, 195-203. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00284-015-0823-9
- Ranadheera, C. S., Evans, C. A., Baines, S. K., Balthazar, C. F., Cruz, A. G., Esmerino, E. A., ... Vasiljevic, T. (2019). Probiotics in goat milk products: Delivery capacity and ability to improve sensory attributes. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety 18*(4), 867-882. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12447
- Schillinger, U., & Lücke, F. K. (1987). Identification of lactobacilli from meat and meat products. *Food Microbiology* 4(3), 199-208. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0740-0020(87)90002-5
- Şengün, I. Y., Kılıç, G., Charoenyingcharoen, P., Yukphan, P., & Yamada, Y. (2022). Investigation of the microbiota associated with traditionally produced fruit vinegars with focus on acetic acid bacteria and lactic acid bacteria. *Food Bioscience* 47, 101636. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.fbio.2022.101636
- Şengün, I. Y. (2013). Microbiological and chemical properties of fig vinegar produced in Turkey. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 7(20), 2332-2338. https://doi.org/10. 5897/ajmr12.2275
- Shohayeb, M., Abdel-Hameed, E. S. S., Bazaid, S. A., & Maghrabi, I. (2014). Antibacterial

and antifungal activity of *Rosa damascena* Mill. essential oil, different extracts of rose petals. *Global Journal of Pharmacology* 8(1), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.gjp. 2014.8.1.81275

- Solieri, L., Landi, S., De Vero, L., & Giudici, P. (2006). Molecular assessment of indigenous yeast population from traditional balsamic vinegar. *Journal of Applied Microbiology 101*(1), 63-71. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-2672.2006.02906.x
- Sossou, S. K., Ameyapoh, Y., Karou, S. D., & de Souza, C. (2009). Study of pineapple peelings processing into vinegar by biotechnology. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 12*(11), 859-865. https://doi.org/10.3923/pjbs. 2009.859.865
- Torusdağ, G.B., & Bakkalbaşı, E. (2022). Determination of some physicochemical properties and anthocyanin extraction conditions of *Rosa damascena* Mill. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation* 46(2), e16279. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp. 16279

- Turkish Standards Institution-TSE., (2016). Vinegar product made from liquids of agricultural origin - definitions, requirements, marking (Vol. TS 1880 EN 13188/D1:2016), Ankara.
- Ulusoy, S., Boşgelmez-Tınaz, G., & Seçilmiş-Canbay, H. (2009). Tocopherol, carotene, phenolic contents and antibacterial properties of rose essential oil, hydrosol and absolute. *Current Microbiology* 59, 554-558. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00284-009-9475-y
- Wu, Y., Xia, M., Zhang, X., Li, X., Zhang, R., Yan, Y., & Wang, M. (2021). Unraveling the metabolic network of organic acids in solid-state fermentation of Chinese cereal vinegar. *Food Science & Nutrition 9*(8), 4375-4384. https: //doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.2409
- Yang, F., Dong, W., Zhang, X., Li, Y., Zhou, S., Zhu, G., & Xiao, C. (2019). Volatile-organic compound changes in rose twigs consequent to infection with rose powdery mildew. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research* 79(4), 596-608. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-58392019000400596